SURE 静岡大学学術リポジトリ Shizuoka University REpository

コンディヤックの起源への問いとデリダの読み方

メタデータ	言語: jpn
	出版者:
	公開日: 2015-09-08
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: 上利, 博規
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://doi.org/10.14945/00009105

Codillac's Question about the Origin and Derrida's Reading

AGARI Hiroki

After Locke's 'An Essay Concerning Human Understanding' Codillac asks the origin of human knowledge in 'Essay on the Origin of Human Knowledge' (Essai sur l'origine des connaissances humaines, 1746), and its question about the origin of progress of language had much influence on Rousseau's 'Essay on the Origin of Languages'.

Derrida wrote the introduction to Codndillac's 'Essay on the Origin of Human Knowledge', titled 'Archeology of the Frivolous' ('L'Archéologie du frivole'), and he tried to find the possibility of deconstrucion in it.

In this paper we investigate 1. the background of many questions about the origin in 18century, 2. the main difference between Lock's 'An Essay Concerning Human Understanding' and Condillac's 'Essay on the Origin of Human Knowledge', and 3. the productive function of analogy which inevitably makes the Frivolous.

Through these we will attain the conclusion that the most important difference between Locke and Condillac is that Condillac thinks the signs are the principle to germinate of ideas, and that Derrida find the possibility of deconstruction in the 'proctive function of the language' and this function of language makes the Frivolous.