Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov., a member of the family Cyclobacteriaceae, isolated from a brackish lake in Hamamatsu, Japan

SURE 静岡大学学術リポジトリ Shizuoka University REpository

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2019-07-11
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: Maejima, Yoshiaki, lino, Takao, Muraguchi,
	Yusuke, Ohkuma, Moriya, Kimbara, Kazuhide, Shintani,
	Masaki
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10297/00026716

## 1 Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov., a member of the family

#### 2 Cyclobacteriaceae, isolated from a brackish lake in Hamamatsu, Japan

- 3 **Running title:** Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov.
- 4 **Authors**: Yoshiaki Maejima<sup>1†</sup>, Takao lino<sup>2†</sup>, Yusuke Muraguchi<sup>1</sup>, Moriya
- 5 Ohkuma<sup>2</sup>, Kazuhide Kimbara<sup>1</sup>, Masaki Shintani<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>
- 6 **Author affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Department of Engineering, Graduate School of
- 7 Integrated Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, 3-5-1 Johoku, Naka-
- 8 ku, Hamamatsu, 432-8561, Shizuoka, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Japan Collection of
- 9 Microorganisms, RIKEN BioResource Research Center, 3-1-1 Koyadai,
- 10 Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0074, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Bioscience, Graduate
- 11 School of Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, 3-5-1 Johoku, Naka-
- 12 ku, Hamamatsu, 432-8561, Shizuoka, Japan, <sup>4</sup>Green Energy Research
- 13 Division, Research Institute of Green Science and Technology, Shizuoka
- 14 University, 3-5-1 Johoku, Naka-ku, Hamamatsu, 432-8561, Shizuoka, Japan.

#### 15 **Contents List Category:** New Taxa – *Bacteroidetes*

- <sup>16</sup> \*Correspondence: Masaki Shintani, <u>shintani.masaki@shizuoka.ac.jp</u>
- 17 **Keywords:** *Cyclobacteriaceae*; 'filterable' bacteria; brackish lake
- <sup>18</sup> <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 19 Foot Notes: The GenBank/DDBJ/EMBL accession numbers for the partial
- sequence of 16S rRNA and complete genome sequence of *Algoriphagus*
- sanaruensis M8-2<sup>T</sup> are LC349734 and CP012836, respectively.
- Abbreviation: ANI, average nucleotide identity; dDDH, digital DNA–DNA
- hybridization; DDH, DNA–DNA hybridization; ME, minimum-evolution; ML,

- 24 maximum-likelihood; MP, maximum-parsimony; NJ, neighbour-joining; OGRI,
- 25 overall genome related index.

26	Strain M8-2 <sup>T</sup> , which was isolated from the brackish lake water (Lake Sanaru) in
27	Japan, was characterized for representation of novel species in the genus
28	Algoriphagus. Cells of strain M8- $2^{T}$ were aerobic, Gram-stain-negative, and
29	curved rod shaped (0.2-0.5 $\mu m$ in width, 0.7-1.9 $\mu m$ in length). Strain M8-2 <sup>T</sup>
30	grew optimally at 30°C, pH 6.5-7.5 and in the presence of 0.5-1.0% (w/v) NaCl.
31	MK-7 was a sole isoprenoid quinone for strain M8-2 <sup><math>T</math></sup> . The major polar lipids
32	were phosphatidylethanolamine, an unidentified phospholipid, and an
33	unidentified polar lipid. The predominant cellular fatty acids were iso- $C_{15:0}$ and
34	anteiso- $C_{15:0}$ . Phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene sequence
35	showed that strain M8-2 <sup><math>T</math></sup> belonged to the genus <i>Algoriphagus</i> and closely
36	related to Algoriphagus aquatilis $A8-7^{T}$ , Algoriphagus boseongensis BS-R1 <sup>T</sup> ,
37	Algoriphagus aquaeductus T4 <sup>T</sup> , Algoriphagus olei CC-Hsuan-617 <sup>T</sup> ,
38	Algoriphagus shivajiensis NIO-S3 <sup><math>T</math></sup> and Algoriphagus mannitolivorans DSM
39	15301 <sup>⊤</sup> with sequence similarity of 96.6-97.4%. Results of average nucleotide
40	identities (<75%) and digital DNA–DNA hybridization (<19%) showed that $M8-2^{T}$
41	was discriminative from its phylogenetic relatives. Based on the acid production,
42	the predominant cellular fatty acid composition, the DNA G+C content and
43	phylogenetic position, a novel species in the genus Algoriphagus, for which the
44	name Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov. is proposed for strain M8-2 <sup><math>T</math></sup> (=JCM
45	31446 <sup>⊤</sup> =LMG 29969 <sup>⊤</sup> ).

46	The genus Algoriphagus, a member of the family Cyclobacteriaceae, the order
47	Cytophagales of the phylum Bacteroidetes, was first proposed with
48	Algoriphagus ratkowskyi as a single type species [1]. At the time of writing, the
49	genus Algoriphagus was composed of 39 species as validly published names
50	[2] (http://www.bacterio.net/algoriphagus.html). In 2017, six species were newly
51	proposed among genus Algoriphagus [3-8]. These species were isolated from
52	various environment such as a estuary sediment [3], freshwater lake [9],
53	seawater [10], tidal flat [11], soil [12, 13], marine sediment [4],
54	hexachlorocyclohexane-contaminated dumpsite [14], marine solar saltern [15]
55	and mangrove sediment [16]. In our previous study, strain M8-2 was isolated as
56	one of the 141 'filterable' bacteria, which passed through a 0.22 $\mu m$ pore size
57	filter, from Lake Sanaru, a brackish lake in Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan
58	(E137°41'15", N34°42'30") [17]. In this study, we characterized strain M8-2 as a
59	representative of a novel species of the genus Algoriphagus.
60	Strain M8-2 <sup><math>T</math></sup> was routinely cultivated on Marine agar 2216 (MA) (Difco, BD
61	Bioscience) for 6 days at 30°C. Cells of strain M8-2 <sup>T</sup> was aerobic, non-spore-
62	forming, non-motile and curved rod-shaped. Gram staining was negative by
63	using the Gram-staining kit (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corp.). Colonies of
64	strain M8-2 <sup><math>T</math></sup> are circular, convex and coral with 1.5-2.0 mm diameter on MA
65	plate after 5-6 days of cultivation. Catalase reaction was tested by placing drops
66	of 3% (v/v) $H_2O_2$ solution directly on the cells cultivated on MA and observing
67	gas evolution. Oxidase reaction was tested by using a Cytochrome Oxidase
68	Test Strip (Nissui). Catalase and oxidase reaction were positive. To confirm that

strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> was smaller than the pore size of the filter, the colonies of the
strain on MA plate were stained with 2% (w/v) ammonium molybdate on
electron microscopy grids. Then, they were observed with transmission electron
microscopy (TEM: JEM-2000FX-II) at 160 kV. The cells of M8-2<sup>T</sup> showed
curved rod-shaped and 0.2-0.5 µm wide and 0.7-1.9 µm long (Fig. 1). No
flagellation was observed with TEM (Fig. 1).

Temperature ranges (4°C and 10-50°C at 5°C intervals) and pH ranges (3.5-75 10.5, in increments of pH 0.5 units by the addition of HCI or NaOH) for growth of 76 strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> were tested in Marine Broth 2216 (Difco, BD Bioscience). The pH 77 78 of each medium was adjusted after autoclaving them. The pH was also majored when the strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> start to grow in the media to confirm whether it was 79 maintained at that time. The longest incubation time was 4 months. Its growth 80 temperature ranged from 15 to 40°C, with an optimum of 30°C and the pH 81 82 range was 6.0-9.0, with an optimum pH range being 6.5-7.5. Salinity ranges for growth were tested using marine broth omitted NaCl, whose compositions were: 83 5.0 g/L polypeptone, 1.0 g/L yeast extract, 0.1 g/L ferric citrate, 5.9 g/L 84 magnesium chloride, 3.2 g/L magnesium sulfate, 1.8 g/L calcium chloride, 0.55 85 g/L potassium chloride, 0.16 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 0.08 g/L potassium 86 bromide. The 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 or 10.0% (w/v) NaCl 87 was added the above broth, and strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> grew in the broth with 5.0% (w/v) 88 or less. The optimum salinity for its growth was 0.5-1.0% (w/v) NaCl. 89 Physiological and biochemical characterizations were conducted using API 90 ZYM and API 50 CH (bioMérieux). These characteristics of M8-2<sup>T</sup> are shown in 91 Table 1 and the species description. 92

The major isoprenoid guinone of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> was determined by the HPLC 93 method described by Komagata & Suzuki [18]. In brief, the guinone was 94 95 extracted from the lyophilized cells by chloroform:methanol (2:1). Then, filtered and concentrated sample was purified by TLC. The resultant sample was 96 subjected to HPLC [LC-20AD and SPD-M20A (SHIMADZU)] with COSMOSIL 97 5C18 column. The detection was performed with 270 nm UV. The polar lipids 98 pattern of the strain was determined by using two-dimensional TLC and 99 spraying with 5% ethanolic molybdophosphoric acid, ninhydrin, Dittmer & Lester 100 101 reagent, anisaldehyde reagent and Dragendoff's reagent, as described 102 previously [19, 20]. The Sherlock Microbial Identification System (MIDI) version 103 6 (Microbial ID; Agilent Technologies) was used for identifying and quantifying the cellular fatty acids of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> based on the previous method [21]. Strain 104 M8- $2^{T}$  contained menaguinone-7 (MK-7) as a sole isoprenoid guinone. The 105 polar lipids of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> mainly comprised phosphatidylethanolamine, an 106 107 unidentified phospholipid, and an unidentified polar lipid (Figs. 2 and S1). The percentages of detected fatty acids are shown in Table 2. The predominant 108 cellular fatty acids of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> were iso- $C_{15:0}$  (36.7%) and anteiso- $C_{15:0}$ 109 (11.5%). The DNA G+C content of strain M8- $2^{T}$  was 41.4 mol% based on the 110 complete nucleotide sequence, which was already published [22]. The genome 111 size of M8-2<sup>T</sup> has a single 3,882,610-bp chromosome with 3,377 coding 112 sequences, nine sets of rRNA genes, and 40 tRNA genes [22]. 113

Phylogenetic analyses were performed by the neighbour-joining method [23]

115 (Kimura 2-parameter method [24]), the maximum likelihood method (Tamura-

Nei model [23]), the minimum-evolution method [25] (Kimura 2-parameter 116 method [24]), and the maximum-parsimony method with ClustalW [26] in MEGA 117 7.0 [27]. According to the complete sequence of strain  $M8-2^{T}$ 118 (GenBank/DDBJ/EMBL accession no. CP012836, [22]) it has three identical 119 sequences of 16S rRNAs at 1798630-1800155 nt, 3812980-3811455 nt, and 120 3882483-3880958 nt. Based on the comparisons of 16S rRNA gene sequences, 121 strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> belonged to the genus Algoriphagus (Figs. 3 and S2). Strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> 122 located near Algoriphagus aquatilis A8-7<sup>T</sup> [9], Algoriphagus boseongensis BS-123 124  $R1^{T}$ [28], Algoriphagus aguaeductus  $T4^{T}$ [29], Algoriphagus olei CC-Hsuan-617<sup>T</sup> [12], Algoriphagus shivajiensis NIO-S3<sup>T</sup> [30] and Algoriphagus mannitolivorans 125 DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> (=JC2050<sup>T</sup>) [31, 32], whose nucleotide sequence similarities of 126 16S rRNA gene were 97.4%, 96.9%, 96.8%, 96.8%, 96.6% and 96.6%, 127 respectively {the reference data were obtained from EzBioCloud server 128 129 (https://www.ezbiocloud.net/identify) [33]}. These similarities were lower than the cut-off value recommended for species differentiation (98.7-99.0 % [34]). 130 Then, overall genome related index (OGRI) was calculated according to a 131 132 recent proposal by Chun and colleagues [35]. Available whole genomic data of closely rerated strains T4<sup>T</sup> (accession no. QKTX01), DSM15301<sup>T</sup> (accession 133 no. AUBV01) and several other strains A. zhangzhouensis DSM 25035<sup>T</sup> 134 (FRXN01), A. vanfongensis DSM 17529<sup>T</sup> (AUBX01), A. faecimaris DSM 23095<sup>T</sup> 135 (FNAC01), A. terrigena DSM 22685<sup>T</sup> (AUBW01), A. marinus am2<sup>T</sup> (MSPQ01), 136 A. halophilus DSM 15292<sup>T</sup> (FSRC01), and A. resistens NH1<sup>T</sup> (LMXN01) were 137 used to calculate the OGRI. The average nucleotide identity (ANI) was 138 calculated by using OAT software [36] and *in silico* DNA-DNA hybridization 139

(DDH) analyses were performed by using Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator 2.1 [37] (http://ggdc.dsmz.de/ggdc.php). The ANI values of strain M8- $2^{T}$  with them were less than 75%, 73.28% with strain DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> and 74.81% with strain T4<sup>T</sup> (Table S2). The results of DDH showed less than 20%, 17.2% with strain DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> and 18.3% with strain T4<sup>T</sup> (Table S2). These results clearly indicated that strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> could be assigned as different species of them.

147 Morphological, biochemical and physiological characteristics of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup>, along with those of members of the phylogenetically related taxa, are 148 summarized in Table 1. In strain M8-2<sup>T</sup>, acid production was weakly confirmed 149 from xylose and *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine. Furthermore, strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> differed in 150 the composition of major cellular fatty acids from the related bacteria as shown 151 in Table 2; e.g. the ratio of anteiso-C<sub>15:0</sub> of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> was highest than those 152 of related species. The DNA G+C content of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> was lower than those 153 of members of the genus Algoriphagus (Table 1). Therefore, strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> 154 should be classified as the representative of a new species within the genus 155 Algoriphagus. We proposed that strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> represent a novel species, for 156 which, the name Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov. is proposed. 157

#### 158 **Description of** *Algoriphagus sanaruensis* **sp. nov.**

Algoriphagus sanauensis (sa.na.ru.en'sis. N.L. masc.adj. sanaruensis of or
 belonging to Lake Sanaru, Hamamatsu, Japan, referring to the isolation of the
 type strain).

Aerobic bacterium. Cells are curved rod shaped (0.2-0.5 µm in width and 0.7-162 1.9 µm in length). Catalase-positive and oxidase positive. Colonies are circular, 163 164 convex and coral on MA after 5 days of cultivation. The temperature for growth is 15-40°C with an optimum growth at 30°C. Grows at pH 6.0-9.0 with an 165 optimum around pH 6.5-7.5. Growth occurs 5.0% (w/v) NaCl or less. In assays 166 with API 50 CH, the acid was produced (positive) for D-galactose, D-glucose, D-167 fructose, D-mannose, amygdalin, arbutin, esculin ferric citrate, salicin, D-168 169 cellobiose, D-maltose, D-lactose, D-melibiose, D-sucrose, D-trehalose, Dmelezitose, D-raffinose, starch and gentiobiose, weakly positive for D-xylose, L-170 171 xylose, methyl- $\beta$ -D-xylopyranoside, L-sorbose, L-rhamnose, methyl- $\alpha$ -D-172 mannopyranoside, methyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside, *N*-acetylglucosamine, inulin, glycogen, D-turanose and D-lxyose. In assays with API ZYM MicroPlates, 173 positive for alkaline phosphatase, leucine arylamidase, valine arylamidase, 174 175 trypsin,  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin, acid phosphatase, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase,  $\alpha$ -galactosidase,  $\beta$ -galactosidase,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase,  $\beta$ -glucosidase and N-acetyl- $\beta$ -176 glucosaminidase, weakly positive for esterase (C4), esterase lipase (C8), and 177 cystine arylamidase. The major menaquinone is MK-7. The main polar lipids 178 were phosphatidylethanolamine, an unidentified phospholipid, and an 179 180 unidentified polar lipid. The predominant cellular fatty acids were iso-C<sub>15:0</sub> and anteiso-C<sub>15:0</sub>. 181

The type strain is  $M8-2^{T}$  (=JCM 31446<sup>T</sup> =LMG 29969<sup>T</sup>), which was isolated from a brackish lake (Lake Sanaru) in Hamamatsu, Japan. The DNA G+C content of the type strain is 41.4 mol% (Genome sequence)

## 185 Author contributions

- 186 MS conceived, designed, and supervised the study. YM, TI, MY, and MS
- 187 performed the experiments and analyzed the data. YM, TI, MO, KK, and MS
- 188 wrote, reviewed, and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the
- 189 final manuscript.

## 190 **Funding information**

- 191 This study was supported by Institute for Fermentation, Osaka (IFO), Japan.
- 192 **ORCID** Masaki Shintani: ORCID 0000-0002-6505-9850
- 193 **Disclosure statement**
- 194 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## 196 **REFERENCES**

197 1. Bowman JP, Nichols CM, Gibson JA. Algoriphagus ratkowskyi gen. nov., sp. nov., Brumimicrobium glaciale gen. nov., sp. nov., Cryomorpha ignava 198 199 gen. nov., sp. nov. and Crocinitomix catalasitica gen. nov., sp. nov., novel flavobacteria isolated from various polar habitats. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 200 201 2003;53:1343-1355. 202 **Parte AC.** LPSN--list of prokaryotic names with standing in 2. nomenclature. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:D613-616. 203 Jia X, Jia B, Kim KH, Jeon CO. Algoriphagus aestuariicola sp. nov., 204 3. 205 isolated from estuary sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017;67:914-919. Han JR, Zhao JX, Wang ZJ, Chen GJ, Du ZJ. Algoriphagus resistens 206 4. sp. nov., isolated from marine sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017;67:1275-207 1280. 208 209 5. Park S, Park JM, Yoon JH. Algoriphagus marisflavi sp. nov., isolated from water of an estuary environment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017;67:4168-210 4174. 211 212 6. Han JR, Geng QL, Wang FQ, Du ZJ, Chen GJ. Algoriphagus marinus sp. nov., isolated from marine sediment and emended description of the genus 213 Algoriphagus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017;67:2412-2417. 214 215 Sun QL, Sun L. Description of Algoriphagus iocasae sp. nov., isolated 7. from deep-sea sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017;67:243-249. 216 Park S, Jung YT, Park JM, Yoon JH. Algoriphagus aquaemixtae sp. 217 8. 218 nov., isolated from water in an estuary environment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017;67:3231-3236. 219 Liu Y, Li H, Jiang JT, Liu YH, Song XF et al. Algoriphagus aquatilis sp. 220 9. 221 nov., isolated from a freshwater lake. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009;59:1759-222 1763. Lee DH, Kahng HY, Lee SB. Algoriphagus jejuensis sp. nov., isolated 223 10. from seawater. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012;62:409-413. 224 Park S, Ha MJ, Yoon SY, Jung YT, Yoon JH. Algoriphagus 225 11. litorisediminis sp. nov., isolated from a tidal flat. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 226 227 2016;66:5437-5443. 228 12. Young CC, Lin SY, Arun AB, Shen FT, Chen WM et al. Algoriphagus 229 olei sp. nov., isolated from oil-contaminated soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 230 2009;59:2909-2915. 231 13. Yoon JH, Lee MH, Kang SJ, Oh TK. Algoriphagus terrigena sp. nov., isolated from soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2006;56:777-780. 232 Kohli P, Nayyar N, Sharma A, Singh AK, Lal R. Algoriphagus roseus 14. 233 234 sp. nov., isolated from a hexachlorocyclohexane-contaminated dumpsite. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2016;66:3558-3565. 235 Yoon JH, Kang SJ, Jung SY, Lee CH, Oh TK. Algoriphagus yeomjeoni 236 15. 237 sp. nov., isolated from a marine solar saltern in the Yellow Sea, Korea. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2005;55(Pt 2):865-870. 238 Yang C, Li Y, Guo Q, Lai Q, Zheng T et al. Algoriphagus 239 16. 240 zhangzhouensis sp. nov., isolated from mangrove sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2013;63:1621-1626. 241

Maejima Y, Kushimoto K, Muraguchi Y, Fukuda K, Miura T et al. 242 17. 243 Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes are major phyla of filterable bacteria passing through 0.22 mum pore size membrane filter, in Lake Sanaru, Hamamatsu, 244 Japan. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2018:1-4. 245 246 18. Komagata K, Suzuki K. Lipid and cell-wall analysis in bacterial systematics. Method Microbiol 1987;19:161-207. 247 Lechevalier MP, Debievre C, Lechevalier H. Chemotaxonomy of 248 19. 249 aerobic Actinomycetes - phospholipid composition. Biochem Syst Ecol 1977;5:249-260. 250 Minnikin DE, Odonnell AG, Goodfellow M, Alderson G, Athalye M et 251 20. al. An integrated procedure for the extraction of bacterial isoprenoid quinones 252 and polar lipids. J Microbiol Meth 1984;2:233-241. 253 **Sasser M**. Identification of bacteria by gas chromatography of cellular 21. 254 fatty acids, MIDI Technical Note101. Newark, DE: MIDI Inc. 1990. 255 Muraguchi Y, Kushimoto K, Ohtsubo Y, Suzuki T, Dohra H et al. 256 22. Complete genome sequence of Algoriphagus sp. strain M8-2, isolated from a 257 brackish Lake. Genome announcements 2016;4: pii:e00347-16. 258 Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions 259 23. in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol* 260 Biol Evol 1993;10:512-526. 261 262 24. **Kimura M**. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 263 264 1980;16:111-120. 265 25. Rzhetsky A, Nei M. Statistical properties of the ordinary least-squares, generalized least-squares, and minimum-evolution methods of phylogenetic 266 inference. J Mol Evol 1992;35:367-375. 267 268 26. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence 269 weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic 270 Acids Res 1994;22:4673-4680. 271 27. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary 272 genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 2016;33:1870-273 274 1874. 275 28. Park S, Park JM, Lee KC, Yoon JH. Algoriphagus boseongensis sp. nov., a member of the family Cyclobacteriaceae isolated from a tidal flat. 276 Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 2014;105:523-531. 277 29. Rau JE, Blotevogel KH, Fischer U. Algoriphagus aquaeductus sp. 278 nov., isolated from a freshwater pipe. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012;62:675-682. 279 Kumar PA, Bhumika V, Ritika C, Bhaskar YV, Priyashanth P et al. 280 30. Algoriphagus shivajiensis sp. nov., isolated from Cochin back water, India. Syst 281 Appl Microbiol 2013;36:106-111. 282 Yi H, Chun J. Hongiella mannitolivorans gen. nov., sp. nov., Hongiella 283 31. halophila sp. nov. and Hongiella ornithinivorans sp. nov., isolated from tidal flat 284 sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2004;54:157-162. 285 Nedashkovskaya OI, Kim SB, Kwon KK, Shin DS, Luo X et al. 286 32. 287 Proposal of Algoriphagus vanfongensis sp. nov., transfer of members of the genera Hongiella Yi and Chun 2004 emend. Nedashkovskaya et al. 2004 and 288

289 Chimaereicella Tiago et al. 2006 to the genus *Algoriphagus*, and emended 290 description of the genus *Algoriphagus* Bowman et al. 2003 emend.

Nedashkovskaya et al. 2004. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2007;57:1988-1994.

33. Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y et al. Introducing
EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences
and whole-genome assemblies. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2017;67:1613-1617.

- 34. Stackebrandt E, Ebers J. Taxonomic parameters revisited: tarnished
   gold standards. *Microbiology Today* 2006;33:152-155.
- 297 35. Chun J, Oren A, Ventosa A, Christensen H, Arahal DR et al.
  298 Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of
  299 prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2018;68:461-466.
- 300 36. Lee I, Ouk Kim Y, Park SC, Chun J. OrthoANI: An improved algorithm 301 and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. *Int J Syst Evol*
- 302 *Microbiol* 2016;66:1100-1103.
- 303 37. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk HP, Goker M. Genome sequence-
- based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance
   functions. *BMC bioinformatics* 2013;14:60.

307 **Table 1**. Differential characteristics of strain M8- $2^{T}$  and related species.

308 Strains: 1, Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov. M8-2<sup>T</sup>; 2, A. boseongensis BS-R1<sup>T</sup> [28]; 3, A. mannitolivorans DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> [31, 32].

+, positive; w, weakly positive; -, negative; n.d., no data available. All strains are positive for oxidase and catalase. All have MK-7 as predominant
 respiratory quinone. Data for reference strains are from Park et al., 2014 [28], Yi and Chun 2004 [31], and Nedashkovskaya et al., 2007 [32].

Characteristics	1	2	3
Cell size (µm)	0.2-0.5×0.7- 1.9	0.2-0.5×0.7- 6.0	0.4-0.5×1.1- 1.7
Pigmentation	Coral	Strong orange	Opaque and orange
Temperature for growth (°C)			
Optimum	30	30	35-40
Range	15-40	15-40	10-40
pH for growth			
Optimum	6.5-7.5	7.0-8.0	7.0
Range	6.0-9.0	6.0-8.0	6-11.0
NaCl requirement for growth (%, w/v)			
Optimum	0.5-1.0	2.0	1.0
Range	0-5.0	1.0-4.0	0-7.0
Acid production from			
D-Fructose	+	-	-
D-Glucose	+	+	-
Lactose	+	-	-
Maltose	+	+	-
D-Mannose	+	-	-

Melibiose	+	-	-
L-Rhamnose	W	-	-
Xylose	W	-	-
N-Acetyl-D- glucosamine	w	n.d.	-
Enzyme activity (API ZYM)			
Alkaline phosphatase	+	-	+
Esterase (C4)	W	+	-
Esterase lipase (C8)	W	+	-
Cystine arylamidase	W	+	-
α-Galactosidase	+	-	+
α-Glucosidase	+	+	-
β-Glucosidase	+	-	-
DNA G+C (mol%)	41.4	42.3	42.0

- **Table 2.** Cellular fatty acid composition of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> and the type strains of phylogenetically
- 315 related species<sup>a</sup>.
- 316

Strains: 1, Algoriphagus sanaruensis sp. nov. M8-2<sup>T</sup>; 2, A. boseongensis BS-R1<sup>T</sup> [28]; 3, A.
 mannitolivorans DSM 15301<sup>T</sup>[31].

<sup>319</sup> <sup>a</sup>'tr' and '-' indicates trace (<1%) and not detected/not reported, respectively, and fatty acids with 320 more than 10% are in bold.

<sup>321</sup> \*Summed features consist of one or more fatty acids that cannot be separated by the method

- used. Summed feature 3 comprised iso-C<sub>15:0</sub> 2-OH, C<sub>16:1</sub>  $\omega$ 7*c* and/or C<sub>16:1</sub>  $\omega$ 6*c*; summed feature 4
- 323 comprised anteiso-C<sub>17:1</sub> B and/or iso-C<sub>17:1</sub> I; summed feature 9 comprised iso-C<sub>17:1</sub>  $\omega$ 9c and/or
- 324 C<sub>16:0</sub> 10-methyl. ECL, Equivalent chain-length; –, not detected/not reported. Data for reference 325 strains are from Park et al., 2014 [28], and Yi and Chun 2004 [31].
- 325 strains are f326
- 327

	1	2	3
iso-C <sub>11:0</sub>	-	tr	-
anteiso-C11:0	2.6	3.1	1.7
<b>C</b> <sub>14:0</sub>	-	tr	-
iso-C <sub>14:0</sub>	5.4	1.3	1.2
<b>C</b> 15:0	2.9	-	1.7
<b>iso-C</b> 15:0	36.7	30.6	28.7
anteiso-C <sub>15:0</sub>	11.5	6.8	4.6
iso-C <sub>15:0</sub> 3-OH	4.2	4.8	3.6
iso-C <sub>15:1</sub> G	-	-	1.0
C <sub>15:1</sub> ω6 <i>c</i>	1.6	tr	2.5
<b>C</b> 16:0	-	tr	-
C <sub>16:0</sub> 3-OH	1.3	tr	tr
iso-C <sub>16:0</sub>	6.5	5.5	3.7
iso-C <sub>16:0</sub> 3-OH	7.3	4.5	4.0
iso-C <sub>16:1</sub> H	2.0	1.7	2.8
C <sub>16:1</sub> ω5 <i>c</i>	1.3	1.6	1.3
iso-C <sub>17:0</sub>	-	tr	-
C <sub>17:0</sub> 2-OH	-	1.3	tr
iso-C17:0 3-OH	5.2	11.1	7.9
C <sub>17:1</sub> <i>ω</i> 6 <i>c</i>	1.7	1.2	3.1
C <sub>17:1</sub> ω8 <i>c</i>	-	tr	-
iso-C <sub>17:1</sub> ω9c	-	7.9	5.6
Summed features*			
Summed features 3	6.6	11.0	13.7
Summed features 4	1.7	2.0	4.8
Summed features 9	1.4	-	-

## 329 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

- **Figure 1**. Morphological characterization of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> observed by
- 331 transmission electron microscopy after 5 days of incubation on the above MB
- agar at 30°C. A bar in the figure indicates 0.2  $\mu$ m.
- **Figure 2**. A two-dimensional thin-layer chromatogram of polar lipid extracts
- from strain M8-2<sup>T</sup>, stained with 5% ethanolic molybdophosphoric acid to detect
- total lipids. PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PL, unidentified phospholipid; L,
- 336 unidentified polar lipid.
- **Figure 3**. Neighbour-joining tree of partial 16S rRNA gene sequences of strain
- 338 M8-2<sup>T</sup> with representative members of selected species belonging to the genus
- *Algoriphagus*. Bootstrap values (1000 replications) are shown as percentages
- 340 at nodes (neighbour-joining method/maximum-likelihood method/minimum-
- evolution method). The tree was reconstructed using MEGA software.
- 342 Cytophaga hutchinsonii ATCC 33406<sup>T</sup> was used as the outgroup. Bar shows
- 343 0.020 substitutions per nucleotide position.





Figure\_3



## **Supplemental Materials**

# *Algoriphagus sanaruensis* sp. nov., a member of the family *Cyclobacteriaceae*, isolated from a brackish lake in Hamamatsu, Japan

## Maejima & lino et al.

Correspondence: shintani.masaki@shizuoka.ac.jp

The Supplementary Materials include:

- ✓ Table S1-S2
- ✓ Figures S1-S2

**Table S1.** OrthoANI values calculated from the OAT software (Lee et al. 2016). Strains: 1, *Algoriphagus sanaruensis* sp. nov. M8-2<sup>T</sup> (accession no. CP012836); 2, *Algoriphagus aquaeductus* T4<sup>T</sup> (QKTX01); 3, *Algoriphagus mannitolivorans* DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> (AUBV01); 4, *Algoriphagus zhangzhouensis* DSM 25035<sup>T</sup> (FRXN01); 5, *Algoriphagus vanfongensis* DSM 17529<sup>T</sup> (AUBX01); 6, *Algoriphagus faecimaris* DSM 23095<sup>T</sup> (FNAC01); 7, *Algoriphagus terrigena* DSM 22685<sup>T</sup> (AUBW01); 8, *Algoriphagus marinus* am2<sup>T</sup> (MSPQ01); 9, *Algoriphagus halophilus* DSM 15292<sup>T</sup> (FSRC01); 10, *Algoriphagus resistens* NH1<sup>T</sup> (LMXN01).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1		74.81	73.28	71.18	70.83	70.83	71.79	70.66	70.44	69.91
2			74.00	71.00	71.17	71.18	73.49	70.78	70.32	70.18
3				71.49	71.41	71.30	72.58	70.67	70.60	70.26
4					76.74	72.12	69.97	71.38	71.60	70.97
5						72.09	70.66	70.90	70.96	70.82
6							70.04	70.76	70.51	70.35
7								70.09	69.37	70.53
8									71.61	72.01
9										71.50
10										

**Table S2.** DDH values calculated by using Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator 2.1 [33]. Only 'Formula 1' values were shown. Strains: 1, *Algoriphagus sanaruensis* sp. nov. M8-2<sup>T</sup> (accession no. CP012836); 2, *Algoriphagus aquaeductus* T4<sup>T</sup> (QKTX01); 3, *Algoriphagus mannitolivorans* DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> (AUBV01); 4, *Algoriphagus zhangzhouensis* DSM 25035<sup>T</sup> (FRXN01); 5, *Algoriphagus vanfongensis* DSM 17529<sup>T</sup> (AUBX01); 6, *Algoriphagus faecimaris* DSM 23095<sup>T</sup> (FNAC01); 7, *Algoriphagus terrigena* DSM 22685<sup>T</sup> (AUBW01); 8, *Algoriphagus marinus* am2<sup>T</sup> (MSPQ01); 9, *Algoriphagus halophilus* DSM 15292<sup>T</sup> (FSRC01); 10, *Algoriphagus resistens* NH1<sup>T</sup> (LMXN01).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1		18.3	17.2	14.1	13.8	14.0	14.2	14.0	13.9	13.3





Figure S2. Different phylogenetic trees of partial 16S rRNA gene sequences of strain M8-2<sup>T</sup> with representative members of selected species belonging to the genus Algoriphagus. Bootstrap values (1000 replications) are shown as percentages at nodes. (a) neighbour-joining (NJ) method, (b) maximum-likelihood (ML) method, (c) minimum evolution (ME) method, and (d) maximum parsimony (MP) method. The tree was reconstructed using MEGA software. Cytophaga hutchinsonii ATCC 33406<sup>T</sup> was used as the outgroup.

Algoriphagus locisalis MSS-170<sup>T</sup> (AY835922) 80 91 Algoriphagus winogradskyi LMG 21969<sup>T</sup> (AJ575263) 95 <sup>L</sup> Algoriphagus yeomjeoni MSS-160<sup>T</sup> (AY699794) Algoriphagus chordae LMG 21970<sup>T</sup> (AJ575265) 93 Algoriphagus antarcticus DSM 15986<sup>T</sup> (AJ577141) 94 – Algoriphagus aquimarinus LMG 21971<sup>⊤</sup> (AJ575264) 57 <sup>90 L</sup> Algoriphagus ratkowskyi LMG 21435<sup>⊤</sup> (AJ608641) 61 - Algoriphagus resistens NH1<sup>⊤</sup> (LMXN01000034) 54 <sup>L</sup> Algoriphagus aestuarii MDM-1<sup>⊤</sup> (KR012506) Algoriphagus machipongonensis PR1<sup>T</sup> (CM001023) Algoriphagus halophilus IMSNU 14013<sup>T</sup> (AY264839) Algoriphagus lutimaris S1-3<sup>T</sup> (FJ669216) - Algoriphagus iocasae S61<sup>⊤</sup> (KX002012) - Algoriphagus aquaemixtae KEM-10<sup>⊤</sup> (KY661386) 79 Algoriphagus marinus am2<sup>⊤</sup> (KX839492) 34 Algoriphagus litorisediminis OITF-19<sup>T</sup> (KX578604) 83 35 Algoriphagus namhaensis DPG-3<sup>T</sup> (HQ401024) Algoriphagus marisflavi KEM-106<sup>T</sup> (MF193603) Algoriphagus boritolerans JCM 18970<sup>⊤</sup> (BBFN01000114) Algoriphagus alkaliphilus AC-74<sup>T</sup> (AJ717393) Algoriphagus jejuensis CNU040<sup>T</sup> (EF217418) 100 г 44 Algoriphagus terrigena DSM 22685<sup>T</sup> (AUBW01000056) - Algoriphagus aestuariicola S2-A1<sup>⊤</sup> (KX268606) 98 г 24 Algoriphagus taeanensis HMC4223<sup>T</sup> (FJ986206) 20 Algoriphagus roseus W29<sup>⊤</sup> (KP861852) <sup>100 L</sup> Algoriphagus trabzonensis MS7<sup>⊤</sup> (HQ902253) Algoriphagus faecimaris LYX05<sup>T</sup> (GU072591) Algoriphagus hitonicola 7-UAH<sup>T</sup> (EF488486) Algoriphagus marincola DSM 16067<sup>T</sup> (AUBU01000014) Algoriphagus ornithinivorans IMSNU 14014<sup>T</sup> (AY264840) Algoriphagus vanfongensis KMM 6241<sup>T</sup> (EF392675) Algoriphagus zhangzhouensis DSM 25035<sup>+</sup> (JN426847) Algoriphagus confluentis HJM-2<sup>T</sup> (KR868708) Algoriphagus taiwanensis CC-PR-82<sup>T</sup> (KF732813) Algoriphagus boseongensis BS-R1<sup>T</sup> (KF768344) · Algoriphagus mannitolivorans DSM 15301<sup>⊤</sup>(AY264838) Algoriphagus olei CC-Hsuan-617<sup>T</sup> (FJ231215) Cytophaga hutchinsonii ATCC 33406<sup>T</sup> (CP000383)

- Algoriphagus winogradskyi LMG 21969<sup>T</sup> (AJ575263) 53 83 - Algoriphagus yeomjeoni MSS-160<sup>⊤</sup> (AY699794) 88 Algoriphagus locisalis MSS-170<sup>⊤</sup> (AY835922) Algoriphagus chordae LMG 21970<sup>T</sup> (AJ575265) 58 Algoriphagus antarcticus DSM 15986<sup>T</sup> (AJ577141) 64 Algoriphagus aquimarinus LMG 21971<sup>+</sup> (AJ575264) 68 91<sup>L</sup> − Algoriphagus ratkowskyi LMG 21435<sup>+</sup> (AJ608641) 79 Algoriphagus resistens NH1<sup>T</sup> (LMXN01000034) Algoriphagus aestuarii MDM-1<sup>T</sup> (KR012506) Algoriphagus machipongonensis PR1<sup>T</sup> (CM001023) Algoriphagus iocasae S61<sup>T</sup> (KX002012) 43 76 Algoriphagus halophilus IMSNU 14013<sup>⊤</sup> (AY264839) 87 97<sup>L</sup> Algoriphagus lutimaris S1-3<sup>⊤</sup> (FJ669216) Algoriphagus namhaensis DPG-3<sup>T</sup> (HQ401024) Algoriphagus marisflavi KEM-106<sup>⊤</sup> (MF193603) 86 75 Algoriphagus litorisediminis OITF-19<sup>T</sup> (KX578604) 40 Algoriphagus aquaemixtae KEM-10<sup>T</sup> (KY661386) 28 72<sup>L</sup> Algoriphagus marinus am2<sup>T</sup> (KX839492) Algoriphagus aestuariicola S2-A1<sup>⊤</sup> (KX268606) 100 Algoriphagus taeanensis HMC4223<sup>T</sup> (FJ986206) Algoriphagus boritolerans JCM 18970<sup>T</sup> (BBFN01000114) 76 Algoriphagus alkaliphilus AC-74<sup>T</sup> (AJ717393) 83 52 Algoriphagus jejuensis CNU040<sup>T</sup> (EF217418) 100 43 Algoriphagus terrigena DSM 22685<sup>T</sup> (AUBW01000056) 54 Algoriphagus roseus W29<sup>T</sup> (KP861852) 100 Algoriphagus trabzonensis MS7<sup>⊤</sup> (HQ902253) Algoriphagus faecimaris LYX05<sup>T</sup> (GU072591) 62 Algoriphagus marincola DSM 16067<sup>T</sup> (AUBU01000014) Algoriphagus hitonicola 7-UAH<sup>T</sup> (EF488486) 73 Algoriphagus ornithinivorans IMSNU 14014<sup>T</sup> (AY264840) · Algoriphagus vanfongensis KMM 6241<sup>T</sup> (EF392675) 99 67 Algoriphagus zhangzhouensis DSM 25035<sup>T</sup> (JN426847) Algoriphagus aquaeductus T4<sup>T</sup> (EU161637) 94 84 Algoriphagus aquatilis A8-7<sup>T</sup> (EU313811) Algoriphagus olei CC-Hsuan-617<sup>T</sup> (FJ231215) - Algoriphagus confluentis HJM-2<sup>⊤</sup> (KR868708) 100 79 Algoriphagus taiwanensis CC-PR-82<sup>T</sup> (KF732813) Algoriphagus sanaruensis M8-2<sup>T</sup> 48 Algoriphagus boseongensis BS-R1<sup>T</sup> (KF768344) 77 59l Algoriphagus mannitolivorans DSM 15301<sup>T</sup> (AY264838)

Cytophaga hutchinsonii ATCC 33406<sup>T</sup> (CP000383)

(d)



0.020

(C)

Figure S2. Continued.