SURE 静岡大学学術リポジトリ Shizuoka University REpository

メタデータ	言語: eng		
	出版者:		
	公開日: 2020-07-29		
	キーワード (Ja):		
	キーワード (En):		
	作成者: Yokoyama, Tomoka, Ohkubo, Tomohiro,		
	Kamiya, Keita, Hara, Masakazu		
	メールアドレス:		
	所属:		
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10297/00027565		

1	ORIGINAL PAPER
2	
3	Title
4	Cryoprotective activity of Arabidopsis KS-type dehydrin depends on the hydrophobic amino acids of
5	two active segments.
6	
7	Authors
8	Tomoka Yokoyama, Tomohiro Ohkubo, Keita Kamiya, Masakazu Hara*
9	
10	Research Institute of Green Science and Technology,
11	Shizuoka University,
12	836 Ohya, Shizuoka, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan
13	
14	*Name and address for editorial correspondence
15	Masakazu Hara
16	Research Institute of Green Science and Technology,
17	Shizuoka University,
18	836 Ohya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan
19	Tel: +81-54-238-5134
20	Fax: +81-54-238-5134
21	E-mail: hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp
22	
23	
24	

25 Abstract

26Dehydrins are intrinsically disordered proteins which are related to cold tolerance in plants. 27Dehydrins show potent cryoprotective activities for freeze-sensitive enzymes such as lactate 28dehydrogenase (LDH). Previous studies demonstrated that K-segments conserved in dehydrins had 29cryoprotective activities and that K-segment activities depended on the hydrophobic amino acids in 30 the segment. However, the cryoprotective roles of hydrophobic amino acids in dehydrin itself have 31not been reported. Here, we demonstrated that hydrophobic amino acids were required for the 32cryoprotective activity of Arabidopsis dehydrin AtHIRD11. Cryoprotective activities were compared 33 between AtHIRD11 and the corresponding mutant in which all hydrophobic residues were changed 34 to T (AtHIRD110/T) by using LDH. The change strikingly reduced AtHIRD11 activity. A 35 segmentation analysis indicated that the conserved K-segment (Kseg) and a previously unidentified 36 segment (non-K-segment 1, NK1) showed cryoprotective activities. Circular dichroism indicated 37 that the secondary structures of all peptides showed disorder, but only cryoprotective peptides 38 changed to the ordered forms by sodium dodecyl sulfate. Ultracentrifuge analysis indicated that 39 AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T had similar molecular sizes in solution. These results suggest that not 40 only structural disorder but also hydrophobic amino acids contributed to the cryoprotective activity 41 of AtHIRD11. A possible mechanism based on an extended molecular shield model is proposed.

42

43 **Keywords** Cold stress; Cryoprotection; Dehydrin; Hydrophobicity; Intrinsically disordered proteins;

44 Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins

45

46

47 **1. Introduction**

49Dehydrins are group 2 late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins involved in environmental 50stress responses in plants [1, 2]. The expression responded to diverse stresses such as cold, drought, high salinity, and pathogen infection [3-5]. Although some groups of LEA proteins have been found 5152in kingdoms other than plants, dehydrins are likely plant-specific [6]. Since dehydrins ubiquitously 53accumulate in various tissues and organelles such as cytoplasm, nucleus, plastid, mitochondrion, 54endoplasmic reticulum, and plasma membrane [3, 6], dehydrin has been proposed to play 55fundamental roles in the protection of cellular components. Data from circular dichroism (CD), 56Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) have shown that dehydrins are intrinsically disordered proteins [7-10]. The intrinsic disorder of dehydrins 5758is thought to be due to a high abundance of hydrophilic amino acids [5].

59Dehydrins are identified by of K-segments the presence (typical sequence: 60 EKKGIMEKIKEKLPG). Y-segments DEYGNP) (e.g., and S-segments (e.g., 61 LHRSGSSSSSSEDD) are other conserved sequences, although they are not found in all dehydrins 62 [5, 6]. Dehydrin types have been classified using the letters K, Y, and S [11]. In addition to these 63 Φ-segments (Gand polar amino acid-rich sequences) [11], F-segments segments. 64(DRGLFDFLGKK) [12, 13], and ChP-segments [5] have been described. Extensive studies have 65 elucidated the functions of these segments. K-segments protected freeze-sensitive enzymes such as 66 lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, EC 1.1.1.27) [14, 15] and bound to phospholipids while maintaining membrane fluidity at low temperature [10, 16, 17]. S-segments bound to Ca²⁺ after phosphorylation 67 68 [18]. Φ -Segments might be related to the intrinsically disordered nature of dehydrins, because the 69 segments were rich in Gs and polar amino acids [19]. F-segments had cryoprotective activities for 70LDH [20]. K-rich regions including the ChP-segments were involved in DNA binding [21, 22]. 71H-rich sequences frequently found in dehydrins bound to transition metals [23], reduced the 72generation of reactive oxygen species [24], and controled membrane binding [17].

Although various stresses cause the gene expression of dehydrins, cold is a major cue for dehydrin accumulation in plants [5]. Transgenic plants expressing dehydrin genes were more cold tolerant than the corresponding wild-type plants (e.g., [25-30]), suggesting that dehydrins might prevent cellular damage due to cold. The in vitro functions of dehydrins under cold stress have also been investigated. Cryoprotective activities for cold-sensitive enzymes (e.g., [31-34]), membrane bindings (e.g., [10, 16, 17, 35]), and defense against ROS (e.g., [22, 24]) have been found. Accordingly, dehydrins have been thought to be multifunctional (moonlighting) proteins [36, 37].

80 Recently, intensive studies have elucidated the cryoprotective mechanism of dehydrins. The 81 molecular sizes of dehydrins were the primary factors in the cryoprotective activities of dehydrins, 82 i.e., larger dehydrins exhibited greater cryoprotective activities [14]. This finding was fit to the 83 molecular shield model, which can generally explain how peptides protect proteins [38]. Besides that, 84 studies of cryoprotective mechanisms have focused on the specific sites of dehydrin sequences. Truncation of K-segments from dehydrins (ERD10, RcDhn5, TaDHN-5, and WZY2) reduced the 85 86 dehydrins' full cryoprotective activities [34, 39, 40]. K-segments and F-segments had cryoprotective 87 activities [14, 15, 20]. Intriguingly, when the hydrophobic amino acids of K- and F-segments were 88 changed to polar uncharged T residues, the activities of the segments were remarkably reduced, 89 suggesting that the hydrophobic residues were crucial for the cryoprotective activities of K- and 90 F-segments [15, 20]. This implies that not only the segments but also the dehydrin itself may require 91 hydrophobic amino acids for cryoprotective activities. However, no study has investigated this issue. 92In order to confirm the roles of hydrophobic amino acids in dehydrin, we used AtHIRD11 (At1g54410), the SK-type dehydrin of Arabidopsis thaliana [41]. This dehydrin is short (98 amino 9394acids) with a simple segment composition (one K-segment and one S-segment). Previous 95investigations of AtHIRD11 showed diverse molecular functions: cryoprotective activity [42], 96 inhibition of ROS generation [24], and recovery of metal-inhibited enzyme activity [43]. Here we

97 prepared AtHIRD11 and the mutant protein designated as AtHIRD11 Φ /T, in which all 11 98 hydrophobic residues of AtHIRD11 were changed to T. This change greatly reduced the 99 cryoprotective activity of AtHIRD11. We also found a previously unidentified segment whose 100 cryoprotective activity was as potent as that of the K-segment of AtHIRD11. Finally, on the basis of 101 the molecular shield model, we propose an additional mechanism regarding the cryoprotective 102 activities of dehydrins.

103

104

105 **2. Materials and methods**

106

107 2.1. Chemicals and peptides

108

109 Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), sodium pyruvate, and 8-anilino-1-naphthalene sulfonic acid (ANS) 110were purchased from Sigma (Tokyo, Japan). Nicotine adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and 111 recombinant lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from rabbit muscle were obtained from Oriental Yeast 112(Tokyo, Japan). AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ/T prepared by the were 1139-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-based long-peptide synthetic system of Biosynthesis Inc. (Lewisville, TX, USA). N-terminal FITC-labeled AtHIRD11 (i.e., FITC-AtHIRD11) and AtHIRD110/T (i.e., 114115FITC-AtHIRD11 Φ /T) were prepared by the same system. The alkyl spacer of aminohexanoic acid 116 was used. After removing impurities, the peptides were identified by a Voyager DE-RP mass 117spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The segments (i.e., NK1-6 and Kseg) were synthesized with the automated apparatus by using the solid-phase method (Tetras, Advanced 118119 ChemTech, Louisville, KY, USA). Each peptide was purified by reversed-phase chromatography 120(UFLC-20AB, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with an Alltima C18 column (4.6 x 250 mm, Alltech

121	Associates, Deerfield, IL, USA). A linear gradient of acetonitrile from 5% to 95% in 0.05%
122	trifluoroacetic acid solution was performed over 25 min. Mass spectrometry (LCMS-2020,
123	Shimadzu) was used for identification. The proteins and peptides were stored at -20°C after
124	lyophilization. In the following experiments, peptide concentrations were calculated from the gross
125	weight of lyophilized powder. Purities determined by HPLC were used for the calculation.
126	
127	2.2. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)
128	
129	AtHIRD11, AtHIRD11 Φ/T , FITC-AtHIRD11, and FITC-AtHIRD11 Φ/T were analyzed by
130	SDS-PAGE (12% polyacrylamide gel) with molecular weight markers (Kaleidoscope markers,
131	Bio-Rad, Tokyo, Japan). AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11Φ/T were stained with Coomassie Blue (BioSafe,
132	Bio-Rad). FITC-AtHIRD11 and FITC-AtHIRD11 Φ /T were detected by a fluorescence imaging

133 system (Fusion FX, Vilber-Lourmat, Marne-la-Vallée, France).

134

135 2.3. Cryoprotective assays for LDH

136

137 Cryoinactivation, cryodenaturation, and cryoaggregation were inhibited to evaluate the 138 cryoprotective activities of peptides by using LDH. The procedures were according to a previous 139 method [15] with modifications (Supplementary Fig. 1).

140 Inhibition of cryoinactivation was measured as follows. In 1.5-mL plastic tubes, AtHIRD11 and

141 AtHIRD11Φ/T solutions (30 μL) at concentrations of 0, 0.0017, 0.017, 0.17, 0.83, 1.7, 3.3, 8.3, 17,

- and 100 µM in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5 were combined with the LDH solution (20 µL, 0.34
- 143 µM as a monomer) in the same buffer. In this step, the final concentrations of AtHIRD11 and
- 144 AtHIRD11Φ/T were 0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 60 μM, respectively. The final

145concentration of LDH as a monomer was 0.14 µM. The tubes were treated with a freezing and 146 thawing process (in liquid N₂ for 1 min and then in a water bath at $25\pm2^{\circ}C$ for 3 min). This freezing-thawing cycle was repeated in triplicate. To measure the LDH activities, the samples treated 147148with the freezing-thawing cycles (4 μ L) were combined with reaction solutions (196 μ L, 9.5 mM 149Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.58 mM sodium pyruvate, and 60 µM NADH) in a 96-well microplate. 150Absorbance at 340 nm was monitored with a microplate reader (Varioskan Flash, Thermo Fisher 151Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) at 25 °C. The three freezing-thawing cycles reduced LDH activity to 15 to 15220% of the initial level. After measuring the LDH activities before and after the freezing-thawing 153cycles, the relative cryoinactivation of LDH (%) was determined. One-hundred percent relative 154cryoinactivation means a decreased degree of LDH activity by the freezing-thawing cycles without 155peptide. The inhibition of cryoinactivation was evaluated as a 50% protection dose (PD_{50}). If the 156relative cryoinactivation was higher than 50% even when the peptide concentrations reached 60 µM 157(the case with AtHIRD11 Φ /T), PD₅₀ was represented as more than 60 μ M. When segments (NK1-6 158and Kseg) were used, the experimental conditions were the same as above with the following 159exception: the peptide concentrations were 0, 0.033, 0.33, 3.3, 8.3, 17, 33, 83, 170, and 500 μ M, 160respectively.

161Inhibition of cryodenaturation was determined by using ANS, which is a fluorescence probe for 162hydrophobicity on the protein surface. ANS (10 μ M), LDH (4 μ M), and dehydrins (AtHIRD11 and 163AtHIRD110/T) (0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 35 µM) were combined in 10 mM sodium 164phosphate buffer pH 7.0 in a total volume of 250 µL in 1.5-ml plastic tubes. For the segments 165(NK1-6 and Kseg), different series of concentrations $(0, 2, 5, 10, 20, 60, 100, and 300 \,\mu\text{M})$ were 166 used. The three freezing-thawing cycles were performed as described above. The samples were taken 167in the 96-well plates followed by fluorescence detection (Ex 350 nm and Em 470 nm, Varioskan 168Flash). The increment of fluorescence promoted by the freezing-thawing treatment in the sample 169 containing no dehydrin (or segment) was standardized as 100%. The inhibition of cryodenaturation 170 was represented as the PD₅₀. If the relative increment of fluorescence was higher than 50% even 171 when the concentrations of dehydrins and segments reached 35 μ M and 300 μ M, respectively, PD₅₀ 172 was designated as more than 35 μ M (dehydrins) or 300 μ M (segments).

173For the inhibition of cryoaggregation, sample solutions (250 μ L) containing LDH (4 μ M) and 174dehydrins (AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD110/T) (0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 35 µM) were 175prepared. The concentrations of segments (NK1-6 and Kseg) were 0, 2, 5, 10, 20, 60, 100, and 300 176 μ M, respectively. After the three freezing-thawing cycles, 200 μ L of each sample was subjected to a 17796-well microplate assay (415 nm, Bio-Rad iMark). A turbidity increment induced by the 178freezing-thawing treatment in the sample without dehydrin (or segment) was standardized as 100%. 179The PD₅₀ was used to show the inhibition of cryoaggregation. When the relative increment of 180 turbidity was higher than 50% at all concentrations of dehydrins and segments, PD₅₀ was designated 181 as more than 35 µM (dehydrins) and 300 µM (segments), respectively.

182

183 2.4. Circular dichroism (CD)

184

185CD was applied to analyze the secondary structures of dehydrins and segments. Dehydrins 186(AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ/T , 4.7 μ M) or segments (NK1-6 and Kseg) were mixed with SDS (0.1, 1871, and 10 mM) in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5. The samples were subjected to a 188spectropolarimeter (J-820, Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) under the following measurement conditions: scan 189 range from 190 to 250 nm, scan speed 100 nm min⁻¹, resolution 1 nm, and cell width 2 mm. Putative 190compositions of secondary obtained K2D3 software structures by were 191 (http://cbdm-01.zdv.uni-mainz.de/~andrade/k2d3/) [44].

195	Disordered st	tructures of prote	ins were analyzed with IUPred	(https://iupred2a	a.elte.hu/) [45] and
196	DisEMBL	1.5	(http://dis.embl.de/)	[46].	PEP-FOLD3
197	(http://bioserv.rp	obs.univ-paris-die	derot.fr/services/PEP-FOLD3/)	[47] was used	to predict peptide
198	structures. Mode	els with the best	ΓM scores in the neutral solution	were chosen.	

199

200 2.6. Analytical ultracentrifugation

201

202To predict the molecular sizes of dehydrins in solution, sedimentation velocity experiments were 203conducted with an Optima XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman-Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) using 204 an eight-hole An50Ti rotor at 20 °C. Since AtHIRD11 has little absorption at the detection range of 205the analytical ultracentrifuge, N-terminal FITC-labeled dehydrins (i.e., FITC-AtHIRD11 and 206FITC-AtHIRD110/T) were used. FITC-dehydrins (30 µM) were dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl 207 buffer (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl. The samples were centrifuged at 50,000 rpm and detected 208at 494 nm. All data were collected without time intervals between scans. After obtaining the moving 209boundaries and the residuals between raw and theoretically fitted data points, sedimentation 210coefficients and molecular sizes were calculated by the c(s) method in SEDFIT [48]. The 211ultracentrifugation experiments were performed twice.

212

213 2.7. Statistical analysis

214

215 Data for *P* values were analyzed by Dunnett's test at a significance level of 0.05.

3. Results

- 219
- 220 *3.1. Cryoprotective activity of AtHIRD11*
- 221

222In this study we used AtHIRD11 (At1g54410), one of the 10 Arabidopsis dehydrins. The sequence 223of AtHIRD11 is shown in Fig. 1A. This dehydrin is small and consists of a simple segment 224composition (KS-type). The AtHIRD11 gene was abundantly expressed in Arabidopsis, and the 225AtHIRD11 protein was detected in all organs of the plant, with accumulation in the cambial zone of 226the stem vasculature [41]. Due to such abundant pre-accumulation, the gene and protein expression 227 levels in Arabidopsis were only weakly enhanced by various stresses, including cold. However, the 228AtHIRD11 orthologues, such as CAS15 of Medicago sativa [49], Dhn13 of Hordeum vulgare [50], 229and DHN10 of Solanum species [51], were considerably induced.

230A previous study reported that AtHIRD11 showed cryoprotective activity for malate 231dehydrogenase [42]. To test whether hydrophobic amino acids were required for the cryoprotective 232activity of AtHIRD11, we used AtHIRD11 Φ/T in which all 11 hydrophobic amino acids of 233AtHIRD11 were changed to polar, uncharged amino acids (Ts) (Fig. 1B). Both AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD110/T were chemically synthesized and highly purified. SDS-PAGE detected AtHIRD11 234235and AtHIRD11 Φ /T as bands at approximately 17,000 and 25,000, respectively (Fig. 1C). Since the 236calculated molecular weights of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T were 10796 and 10673, respectively, 237their migrations in SDS-PAGE were shorter than expected. Moreover, the bands were faint after the 238Coomassie blue staining. Such unusual behaviors in SDS-PAGE have been frequently observed in many dehydrins (e.g., [52]). In order to clearly detect AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T in the gel, we 239prepared N-terminal FITC-labeled peptides (i.e., FITC-AtHIRD11 and FITC-AtHIRD110/T). 240

241 Fluorescence imaging found apparent bands of FITC-AtHIRD11 and FITC-AtHIRD11Φ/T (Fig. 1D)

at the corresponding sites of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T (Fig. 1C), respectively.

243The cryoprotective activities of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T for LDH were determined (Fig. 2). 244Three items were tested: the inhibition of cryoinactivation, cryodenaturation, and cryoaggregation. 245The PD_{50} values were used to determine the inhibition activities. The results indicated that 246AtHIRD11 apparently inhibited cryoinactivation, cryodenaturation, and cryoaggregation, whereas 247AtHIRD110/T did not inhibit them. This suggests that hydrophobic amino acids contributed to the 248cryoprotective activity of AtHIRD11. Additionally, FITC-AtHIRD11 inhibited the cryoinactivation 249of LDH at a degree similar to that of AtHIRD11, but FITC-AtHIRD11Φ/T did not inhibit it 250(Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, the N-terminal FITC-labeling might not influence the cryoprotective 251activities of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ/T .

252

253 3.2. Cryoprotective segments of AtHIRD11

254

255We previously found that the K-segment of AtHIRD11 (H₄₁KEGIVDKIKDKIHG₅₅) showed 256cryoprotective activity for LDH [15]. However, the cryoprotective activities of other segments were 257not tested. Thus, we divided the AtHIRD11 sequence into 7 segments, each consisting of 15 amino 258acids (Fig. 3A). AtHIRD11 had the K-segment (Kseg) in the middle of the sequence. The N-terminal 259half region and the C-terminal half region were separated into NK1 to NK3 and NK4 to NK6, 260respectively. Here, NK means non-K-segment. The cryoprotective activities of the seven segments 261were determined by measuring the inhibition of cryoinactivation, cryodenaturation, and 262cryoaggregation of LDH (Fig. 3B). Not only Kseg but also NK1 remarkably inhibited the cryoinactivation of LDH. The activity of Kseg tended to be higher than that of NK1, although the 263264difference was not significant. The PD₅₀s of the other segments (i.e., NK2 to NK6) were more than

300 µM, indicating that these segments hardly inhibited the cryoinactivation of LDH. Similar results were obtained in the inhibition of cryodenaturation and cryoaggregation. Taken together, these results suggested that NK1 and Kseg were the cryoprotective sites of AtHIRD11. Nevertheless, it should be noted that AtHIRD11 itself showed more potent inhibition of cryoinactivation, cryodenaturation, and cryoaggregation of LDH than did NK1 and Kseg.

270

271 3.3. Intrinsic disorder of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ/T

272

273Since protein functions are related to the protein structures, the difference in cryoprotective 274activities between AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T was thought to be attributed to their structural 275distinction. Thus, we investigated the secondary structures of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T. Since it 276has been confirmed that dehydrins are intrinsically disordered proteins, we predicted the structural 277disorder of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T by using IUPred and DisEMBL. The IUPred analysis 278indicated that both AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T were highly disordered over the whole sequences 279(Fig. 4A). When the positions of the segments were mapped, the IUPred scores corresponding to the regions of NK1 and Kseg were somewhat different: the scores in NK1 and Kseg of AtHIRD11 were 280281lower than those of AtHIRD11 Φ /T. Similar trends were observed in the DisEMBL analysis 282(Supplementary Fig. 3). The in silico results were reconfirmed by CD. AtHIRD11 and 283AtHIRD110/T showed strong negatives at around 200 nm (Fig. 4B, gray broken lines, arrowheads), 284which are typical signals for disorder. According to the K2D3 analysis, both proteins had low 285compositions of α -helix and β -strand (sums were less than 15%) (Fig. 4C, 0 mM SDS), indicating that AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T were highly disordered in solution. 286

It has been repeatedly reported that secondary structures of dehydrins were transferred from disorder to order by the addition of SDS (many papers, e.g., [16, 53]). Although it was demonstrated 289that dehydrins bound to SDS mainly by electrostatic force [54, 55], the driving factors in the 290SDS-induced structural transition have not been fully confirmed. Here we conducted the CD analysis 291to test whether SDS affected the secondary structures of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T. As expected, 292 SDS (1 and 10 mM) altered the AtHIRD11 structure from disordered to ordered. On the contrary, little change was detected in AtHIRD110/T even at 10 mM of SDS (Fig. 4B, C). Subsequently, we 293294corrected the CD data for the seven segments (i.e., NK1 to NK6, and Kseg). All the segments were 295in disordered states under the condition without SDS (Fig. 5A, gray broken lines, arrowheads). 296When SDS was added, only NK1 and Kseg showed significant increases in the α -helix and β -strand 297compositions (Fig. 5B). In the other segments, however, SDS caused slight or no structural changes. 298The results suggested that the SDS-induced structural transition of AtHIRD11 depended on the 299hydrophobic amino acids and was related to the structural changes in NK1 and Kseg.

300

301 3.4. Sizes of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ/T molecules in solution

302

303 The molecular sizes of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T in aqueous solution were determined by the 304 sedimentation coefficients obtained by analytical ultracentrifugation. The averages of molecular 305weights obtained from the experiments conducted twice were 14,100 (AtHIRD11) and 14,000 306 (AtHIRD11 Φ /T) (Table 1). Because the calculated molecular weights were 11298 307 (FITC-AtHIRD11) and 11236 (FITC-AtHIRD110/T), both peptides were monomeric in the solution. 308 The data seemed contradictory to the previous results that dehydrins from Opuntia streptacantha and 309 Arabidopsis thaliana showed the dehydrin-dehydrin interaction in vivo [56, 57]. This might be 310 attributable to the difference in dehydrin types: AtHIRD11 is the KS-type but the dehydrins used in 311the previous studies were SKn- and YnSKn-types. Eventually, the molecular sizes in solution were 312not much different between AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD110/T. Therefore, the reduction of AtHIRD11's

314

cryoprotective activity was not due to the alteration of molecular size by changing the hydrophobic amino acids to Ts

315

316

317 **4. Discussion**

318

319 The present results demonstrated that the hydrophobic residues were required for the 320 cryoprotective activity of AtHIRD11, which is the Arabidopsis KS-type dehydrin. We also found 321that two segments of AtHIRD11 (NK1 and Kseg) showed cryoprotective activities, but other 322segments did not (Fig. 3B). Although the K-segment has been well documented as the conserved 323 sequence of dehydrins, the NK1 sequence has not been studied yet. According to a protein BLAST 324search (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), KS-type dehydrins were found in angiosperms, but 325not in gymnosperms, moss, or cyanobacteria. This suggested that the KS-type dehydrin genes were 326 generated in angiosperms after the separation of gymnosperms and angiosperms. The multiple 327 alignment analysis showed that not only Kseg but also NK1 were conserved in the KS-type dehydrin 328 orthologues (Supplementary Fig. 4). Considering that only NK1 and Kseg had efficient 329 cryoprotective activities, it was supposed that during the evolution of KS-type dehydrins, both 330 segments were maintained as functional domains.

Here, we discuss the cryoprotective mechanisms of NK1 and Kseg. Without reference to the cryoprotective activities, all segments (i.e., NK1-6 and Kseg) showed disordered structures in aqueous solution (Fig. 5). However, it is noteworthy that only the cryoprotective segments (i.e., NK1 and Kseg) had multiple hydrophobic amino acids and changed their structures from disordered to ordered by SDS. When NK1 and Kseg sequences were analyzed by HeliQuest software (https://heliquest.ipmc.cnrs.fr/) [58], the hydrophobic amino acids were gathered on one side of each 337 wheel, whereas the charged amino acids were located on the opposite side (Supplementary Fig. 5). 338 This suggests that SDS induced amphipathic helices in the structures of NK1 and Kseg. Similarly, 339 the PEP-FOLD3 software predicted that NK1 and Kseg might have elongated hydrophobic areas on 340 one side of the surface of each peptide (Supplementary Fig. 6). However, it should be noted that the 341prediction tools could show one of the possible structures of the disordered peptides, and the 342disorder-to-order transitions by SDS seemed artificial because the SDS concentrations were 343 considerably high. Nevertheless, it is undeniable that NK1 and Kseg might be in equilibrium 344between disorder and order in solution, whereas disorder was excessively abundant. If so, the 345transient formation of amphipathic structures may be required for the cryoprotective activities of 346 NK1 and Kseg. Putative correlations between the formation of hydrophobic areas and the expression 347of cryoprotective activities were found also in a typical K-segment [15] and F-segments [20]. 348 Moreover, the enzyme-protective region of Arabidopsis group 4 LEA protein (AtLEA4-5) 349 transiently formed an amphipathic helix [59]. Taken together, the present and previous results 350indicate it is likely that the transient amphipathic structures are crucial for the activities of 351cryoprotective segments of LEA proteins.

352As shown in Fig. 2, hydrophobic residues were required for the cryoprotective activity of 353 AtHIRD11. In addition, most hydrophobic residues of AtHIRD11 were localized in NK1 and Kseg, 354which were the only segments that showed cryoprotective activities (Fig. 3). This implies that NK1 355and Kseg were specific sites for the cryoprotective activities of AtHIRD11. However, since the 356 cryoprotective activity of AtHIRD11 was remarkably higher than those of NK1 and Kseg (Fig. 3B), 357AtHIRD11's activity did not depend merely on the activities of the two segments. The size effect due 358to the intrinsic disorder of AtHIRD11 seems to be related to the potent cryoprotective activity. This is consistent with the previous data showing that size and disorder are important for the 359 360 cryoprotective effects of dehydrins [14].

361 The SDS-PAGE analysis indicated that the migration of AtHIRD11 was much larger than that of 362 AtHIRD11 Φ /T, indicating that AtHIRD11 was likely more compact than AtHIRD11 Φ /T under the SDS-PAGE conditions, because SDS promoted the structural change from disordered to ordered in 363 364 AtHIRD11 but not in AtHIRD11 Φ /T (Fig. 4B, C). Indeed, the SDS concentration in SDS-PAGE was 365approximately 3.5 mM, a concentration that could induce the disorder-to-order transition of 366 AtHIRD11 but not that of AtHIRD11 Φ /T. This observation might suggest that, although both 367 AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD110/T were flexible in solution, only AtHIRD11 could increase the 368 frequency of an ordered structure in the local hydrophobic environment. This structural alteration 369 might be concomitant with the formation of amphipathic structures of NK1 and Kseg. Meanwhile, it 370 should be acknowledged that AtHIRD11 was still rather flexible in SDS-PAGE because the size of 371AtHIRD11 was approximately 17,000, whereas the calculated molecular weight of AtHIRD11 was 37210796. It cannot be denied that AtHIRD11 migrated larger than AtHIRD110/T in SDS-PAGE 373 because AtHIRD11 could bind more SDS molecules than AtHIRD11 Φ /T. In this case, the difference 374of molecular sizes between AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD110/T cannot be determined from the results of 375 SDS-PAGE.

It has been discussed whether dehydrins access target proteins during cryoprotection. NMR analysis indicated that the *Vitis* dehydrin did not bind to LDH [33]. Using analytical ultracentrifugation, we also confirmed that FITC-AtHIRD11 did not bind to LDH (Supplementary Fig. 7). It was noted that the minimum complementary area required to make a water-tight seal between interacting proteins was estimated to be at least 600 Å² [60]. If dehydrins reach the hydrophobic area of LDH, they may not bind tightly to LDH, because the contact surface between dehydrins and LDH is not large enough.

Finally, we predict the cryoprotective mechanism of AtHIRD11 based on the present data combined with previous knowledge. In solution, AtHIRD11 was highly flexible but may have 385infrequently taken a partially ordered structure. The transient ordering might be associated with the 386 formation of amphipathic structures of NK1 and Kseg. At the same time, the partially ordered form 387 may transiently interact with the hydrophobic patches on the surface of the cold-stressed LDH [61]. 388 The hydrophobic patches can also be generated at the connecting sites between monomers, because 389 LDH is a tetrameric protein (Supplementary Fig. 7) [61]. After that, LDH was restored and 390 AtHIRD11 was returned to the flexible form (an entropy transfer). During this process, the 391disordered region of AtHIRD11, which was still flexible, may have prevented the collision between 392 LDH molecules.

393 At this time, several models have been proposed to explain the actions of protective peptides. In 394the basic molecular shield model [38], peptides may occupy the space to prevent target proteins from 395colliding without binding to the proteins. An extended molecular shield model (or an entropy 396 transfer model) shows that protective peptides may cover and restore the misfolded proteins by 397 loosely associating the peptides to the target proteins [62]. An interaction model was also supposed 398 in which the peptides may protect the proteins by the protein-protein interaction [63]. Considering 399 that the transient hydrophobic interaction may be crucial for AtHIRD11's cryoprotective activity, its 400 cryoprotective mechanism is thought to fit the extended molecular shield model, whereas other 401 models can partially explain our results. On the other hand, inactive AtHIRD11 Φ/T , which had no 402 hydrophobic amino acid, may not have taken an ordered structure. Thus, it is likely that 403 AtHIRD110/T failed to interact with the hydrophobic patches of LDH and could not perform the 404entropy transfer.

405

406

407 **5.** Conclusion

409	AtHIRD11 showed cryoprotective activities for LDH in a hydrophobic residue-dependent manner.
410	NK1 and Kseg, both of which contained hydrophobic amino acids, contributed to the cryoprotective
411	activity. The hydrophobic residues of AtHIRD11 are related not only to the interaction between the
412	dehydrins and the hydrophobic patches of LDH but also to the transient change in AtHIRD11
413	between flexible and ordered forms. The transient change is likely crucial for dehydrin's
414	cryoprotective activity. This work revealed that, although dehydrins are intrinsically disordered
415	proteins with low contents of hydrophobic amino acids, the hydrophobic residues can play important
416	roles in the cryoprotective activities of dehydrins.
417	
418	
419	Acknowledgements
420	
421	This study was supported by Grant-in-Aids (18H02222 and 19K22274) for Scientific Research
422	from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.
423	
424	Declaration of competing interest
425	
426	All the authors declare no conflict of interest.
427	
428	
429	References
430	[1] M. Hundertmark, D.K. Hincha, LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) proteins and their encoding
431	genes in Arabidopsis thaliana, BMC Genom. 9 (2008) 118.
432	

- 433 [2] S.C. Hand, M.A. Menze, M. Toner, L. Boswell, D. Moore, LEA proteins during water stress: not
- 434 just for plants anymore, Annu. Rev. Physiol. 73 (2011) 115-134.
- 435
- 436 [3] S.K. Eriksson, P. Harryson, Dehydrins: molecular biology, structure and function, in: U. Lüttge,
- 437 E. Beck, D. Bartels (Eds.), Plant desiccation tolerance, Ecological studies (analysis and synthesis),
- 438 vol 215, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 289-305.
- 439
- 440 [4] M. Hanin, F. Brini, C. Ebel, Y. Toda, S. Takeda, Plant dehydrins and stress tolerance: versatile

441 proteins for complex mechanisms, Plant Signal. Behav. 6 (2011) 1503-1509.

- 442
- [5] S.P. Graether, K.F. Boddington, Disorder and function: a review of the dehydrin protein family,
 Front. Plant Sci. 5 (2014) 576.
- 445
- [6] A. Tunnacliffe, M.J. Wise, The continuing conundrum of the LEA proteins. Naturwissenschaften
 94 (2007) 791-812.
- 448
- 449 [7] E.E. Findlater, S.P. Graether, NMR assignments of the intrinsically disordered K 2 and YSK 2
 450 dehydrins, Biomol. NMR Assign. 3 (2009) 273-275.
- 451
- 452 [8] L.N. Rahman, G.S. Smith, V.V. Bamm, J.A. Voyer-Grant, B.A. Moffatt, J.R. Dutcher, G. Harauz,
- 453 Phosphorylation of Thellungiella salsuginea dehydrins TsDHN-1 and TsDHN-2 facilitates
- 454 cation-induced conformational changes and actin assembly, Biochemistry 50 (2011) 9587-9604.
- 455
- 456 [9] B.S. Ágoston, D. Kovács, P. Tompa, A. Perczel, Full backbone assignment and dynamics of the

- 457 intrinsically disordered dehydrin ERD14, Biomol. NMR Assign. 5 (2011) 189-193.
- 458

459 [10] M.W. Clarke, K.F. Boddington, J.M. Warnica, J. Atkinson, S. McKenna, J. Madge, C.H. Barker,

- 460 S.P. Graether, Structural and functional insights into the cryoprotection of membranes by the 461 intrinsically disordered dehydrins, J. Biol. Chem. 290 (2015) 26900-26913.
- 462
- 463 [11] T.J. Close, Dehydrins: a commonalty in the response of plants to dehydration and low
 464 temperature, Physiol. Plant. 100 (1997) 291-296.
- 465
- 466 [12] G.R. Strimbeck, Hiding in plain sight: the F segment and other conserved features of seed plant
 467 SKn dehydrins, Planta 245 (2017) 1061-1066.
- 468
- 469 [13] H. Wei, Y. Yang, M.E. Himmel, M.P. Tucker, S.Y. Ding, S. Yang, R. Arora, Identification and470 characterization of five cold stress-related rhododendron dehydrin genes: spotlight on a FSK-type
- 471 dehydrin with multiple F-segments, Front. Bioeng. Biotech. 7 (2019) 30.
- 472
- 473 [14] S.L. Hughes, V. Schart, J. Malcolmson, K.A. Hogarth, D.M. Martynowicz, E. Tralman-Baker,
- 474 S.N. Patel, S.P. Graether, The importance of size and disorder in the cryoprotective effects of
- 475 dehydrins, Plant Physiol. 163 (2013) 1376-1386.
- 476
- 477 [15] M. Hara, T. Endo, K. Kamiya, A. Kameyama, The role of hydrophobic amino acids of
 478 K-segments in the cryoprotection of lactate dehydrogenase by dehydrins, J. Plant Physiol. 210
 479 (2017) 18-23.
- 480

- [16] M.C. Koag, S. Wilkens, R.D. Fenton, J. Resnik, E. Vo, T.J. Close, The K-segment of maize
 DHN1 mediates binding to anionic phospholipid vesicles and concomitant structural changes, Plant
 Physiol. 150 (2009) 1503-1514.
- 484
- [17] S.K. Eriksson, M. Kutzer, J. Procek, G. Gröbner, P. Harryson, Tunable membrane binding of the
 intrinsically disordered dehydrin Lti30, a cold-induced plant stress protein, Plant Cell 23 (2011)
 2391-2404.
- 488
- [18] M.K. Alsheikh, B.J. Heyen, S.K. Randall, Ion binding properties of the dehydrin ERD14 are
 dependent upon phosphorylation, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2003) 40882-40889.
- 491
- [19] J. Atkinson, M.W. Clarke, J.M. Warnica, K.F. Boddington, S.P. Graether, Structure of an
 intrinsically disordered stress protein alone and bound to a membrane surface, Biophys. J. 111
 (2016) 480-491.
- 495
- 496 [20] T. Ohkubo, A. Kameyama, K. Kamiya, M. Kondo, M. Hara, F-segments of *Arabidopsis*497 dehydrins show cryoprotective activities for lactate dehydrogenase depending on the hydrophobic
- 498 residues, Phytochemistry 173 (2020) 112300.
- 499
- 500 [21] M. Hara, Y. Shinoda, Y. Tanaka, T. Kuboi, DNA binding of citrus dehydrin promoted by zinc
- 501 ion, Plant Cell Environ. 32 (2009) 532-541.
- 502
- 503 [22] K.F. Boddington, S.P. Graether, Binding of a *Vitis riparia* Dehydrin to DNA, Plant Sci. (2019)
 504 110172.

- 506 [23] M. Hara, M. Fujinaga, T. Kuboi, Metal binding by citrus dehydrin with histidine-rich domains, J.
 507 Exp. Bot. 56 (2005) 2695-2703.
 508
- 509 [24] M. Hara, M. Kondo, T. Kato, A KS-type dehydrin and its related domains reduce Cu-promoted
 510 radical generation and the histidine residues contribute to the radical-reducing activities, J. Exp. Bot.
 511 64 (2013) 1615-1624.
- 512
- 513 [25] M. Hara, S. Terashima, T. Fukaya, T. Kuboi, Enhancement of cold tolerance and inhibition of
- 514 lipid peroxidation by citrus dehydrin in transgenic tobacco, Planta 217 (2003) 290-298.
- 515
- [26] T. Puhakainen, M.W. Hess, P. Mäkelä, J. Svensson, P. Heino, E.T. Palva, Overexpression of
 multiple dehydrin genes enhances tolerance to freezing stress in *Arabidopsis*, Plant Mol. Biol. 54
 (2004) 743-753.
- 519
- 520 [27] X. Xing, Y. Liu, X. Kong, Y. Liu, D. Li, Overexpression of a maize dehydrin gene, ZmDHN2b,
- 521 in tobacco enhances tolerance to low temperature, Plant Growth Regul. 65 (2011) 109-118.
- 522
- 523 [28] A.E. Ochoa-Alfaro, M. Rodríguez-Kessler, M.B. Pérez-Morales, P. Delgado-Sánchez, C.L.
- 524 Cuevas-Velazquez, G. Gómez-Anduro, J.F. Jiménez-Bremont, Functional characterization of an 525 acidic SK 3 dehydrin isolated from an *Opuntia streptacantha* cDNA library. Planta 235 (2012) 526 565-578.
- 527
- 528 [29] H. Liu, C. Yu, H. Li, B. Ouyang, T. Wang, J. Zhang, X. Wang, Z. Ye, Overexpression of ShDHN,

- a dehydrin gene from *Solanum habrochaites* enhances tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in
 tomato, Plant Sci. 231 (2015) 198-211.
- 531
- 532 [30] F. Bao, D. Du, Y. An, W. Yang, J. Wang, T. Cheng, Q. Zhang, Overexpression of Prunus mume
- 533 dehydrin genes in tobacco enhances tolerance to cold and drought, Front. Plant Sci. 8 (2017) 151.
- 534
- 535 [31] M. Hara, S. Terashima, T. Kuboi, Characterization and cryoprotective activity of
 536 cold-responsive dehydrin from *Citrus unshiu*, J. Plant Physiol. 158 (2001) 1333-1339.
- 537
- [32] L.A. Bravo, J. Gallardo, A. Navarrete, N. Olave, J. Martinez, M. Alberdi, T.J. Close, L.J.
 Corcuera, Cryoprotective activity of a cold-induced dehydrin purified from barley, Physiol. Plant.
 118 (2003) 262-269.
- 541
- 542 [33] S. Hughes, S.P. Graether, Cryoprotective mechanism of a small intrinsically disordered 543 dehydrin protein, Protein Sci. 20 (2011) 42-50.
- 544
- 545 [34] M. Drira, W. Saibi, F. Brini, A. Gargouri, K. Masmoudi, M. Hanin, The K-segments of the 546 wheat dehydrin DHN-5 are essential for the protection of lactate dehydrogenase and β -glucosidase 547 activities in vitro, Mol. Biotech. 54 (2013) 643-650.
- 548
- [35] L.N. Rahman, F. McKay, M. Giuliani, A. Quirk, B.A. Moffatt, G. Harauz, J.R. Dutcher,
 Interactions of *Thellungiella salsuginea* dehydrins TsDHN-1 and TsDHN-2 with membranes at cold
 and ambient temperatures Surface morphology and single-molecule force measurements show
 phase separation, and reveal tertiary and quaternary associations, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.

553 1828 (2013) 967-980.

554

[36] M. Hara, The multifunctionality of dehydrins: an overview. Plant Signal. Behav. 5 (2010)556 503-508.

557

[37] A.A. Covarrubias, C.L. Cuevas-Velazquez, P.S. Romero-Pérez, D.F. Rendón-Luna, C.C. Chater,
Structural disorder in plant proteins: where plasticity meets sessility, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 74 (2017)
3119-3147.

561

[38] S. Chakrabortee, R. Tripathi, M. Watson, G.S. Schierle, D.P. Kurniawan, C.F. Kaminski, M.J.
Wise, A. Tunnacliffe, Intrinsically disordered proteins as molecular shields, Mol Biosyst. 8 (2012)
210-219.

565

[39] J.L. Reyes, F. Campos, H.U.I. Wei, R. Arora, Y. Yang, D.T. Karlson, A.A. Covarrubias,
Functional dissection of hydrophilins during in vitro freeze protection, Plant Cell Environ. 31 (2008)
1781-1790.

569

[40] W. Yang, L. Zhang, H. Lv, H. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Xu, J. Yu, The K-segments of wheat dehydrin
WZY2 are essential for its protective functions under temperature stress, Front. Plant Sci. 6 (2015)
406.

- [41] M. Hara, Y. Shinoda, M. Kubo, D. Kashima, I. Takahashi, T. Kato, T. Horiike, T. Kuboi,
 Biochemical characterization of the *Arabidopsis* KS-type dehydrin protein, whose gene expression is
- 576 constitutively abundant rather than stress dependent, Acta Physiol. Plant. 33 (2011) 2103-2116.

578	[42] M. Hara, S. Uchida, T. Murata, H. Wätzig, Efficient purification of cryoprotective dehydrin
579	protein from the radish (Raphanus sativus) taproot, Eur. Food Res. Technol. 239 (2014) 339-345.
580	
581	[43] M. Hara, S. Monna, T. Murata, T. Nakano, S. Amano, M. Nachbar, H. Wätzig, The Arabidopsis
582	KS-type dehydrin recovers lactate dehydrogenase activity inhibited by copper with the contribution
583	of His residues, Plant Sci. 245 (2016) 135-142.
584	
585	[44] C. Louis-Jeune, M.A. Andrade-Navarro, C. Perez-Iratxeta, Prediction of protein secondary
586	structure from circular dichroism using theoretically derived spectra, Proteins: Struct. Funct.
587	Bioinform. 80 (2012) 374-381.
588	
589	[45] Z. Dosztányi, V. Csizmók, P. Tompa, I. Simon, The pairwise energy content estimated from
590	amino acid composition discriminates between folded and intrinsically unstructured proteins, J. Mol.

- 591 Biol. 347 (2005) 827-839.
- 592
- [46] R. Linding, L.J. Jensen, F. Diella, P. Bork, T.J. Gibson and R.B. Russell, Protein disorder
 prediction: implications for structural proteomics, Structure 11 (2003) 1453-1459.
- 595
- 596 [47] A. Lamiable, P. Thévenet, J. Rey, M. Vavrusa, P. Derreumaux, P. Tufféry, PEP-FOLD3: faster de
- novo structure prediction for linear peptides in solution and in complex, Nucl. Acid. Res. 44 (2016)W449-W454.

599

600 [48] P. Schuck, Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation velocity

ultracentrifugation and lamm equation modeling. Biophys. J. 78 (2000) 1606-1619.

602

[49] A.F. Monroy, Y. Castonguay, S. Laberge, F. Sarhan, L.P. Vezina, R.S. Dhindsa, A new
cold-induced alfalfa gene is associated with enhanced hardening at subzero temperature, Plant
Physiol. 102 (1993) 873-879.

606

[50] E.M. Rodriguez, J.T. Svensson, M. Malatrasi, D.W. Choi, T.J. Close, Barley Dhn13 encodes a
KS-type dehydrin with constitutive and stress responsive expression, Theor. Appl. Genet. 110 (2005)
852-858.

610

[51] T. Rorat, W.J. Grygorowicz, W. Irzykowski, P. Rey, Expression of KS-type dehydrins is
primarily regulated by factors related to organ type and leaf developmental stage during vegetative
growth, Planta, 218 (2004) 878-885.

614

615 [52] D. Kovacs, E. Kalmar, Z. Torok, P. Tompa, Chaperone activity of ERD10 and ERD14, two
616 disordered stress-related plant proteins, Plant Physiol. 147 (2008) 381–390.

617

[53] A.M. Ismail, A.E. Hall, T.J. Close, Purification and partial characterization of a dehydrin
involved in chilling tolerance during seedling emergence of cowpea, Plant Physiol 120 (1999)
237-244.

621

[54] J. Atkinson, M.W. Clarke, J.M. Warnica, K.F. Boddington, S.P. Graether, Structure of an
intrinsically disordered stress protein alone and bound to a membrane surface, Biophys. J. 111
(2016) 480-491.

- 626 [55] S. Eriksson, N. Eremina, A. Barth, J. Danielsson, P. Harryson, Membrane-induced folding of the
- 627 plant stress dehydrin Lti30, Plant Physiol. 171 (2016) 932-943.
- 628
- 629 [56] I.E. Hernández-Sánchez, D.M. Martynowicz, A.A. Rodríguez-Hernández, M.B. Pérez-Morales,
- 630 S.P. Graether, J.F. Jiménez-Bremont, A dehydrin-dehydrin interaction: the case of SK3 from Opuntia
- 631 streptacantha, Front. Plant Sci. 5 (2014) 520.
- 632
- 633 [57] I.E. Hernández-Sánchez, I. Maruri-López, S.P. Graether, J.F. Jiménez-Bremont, In vivo
 634 evidence for homo-and heterodimeric interactions of *Arabidopsis thaliana* dehydrins AtCOR47,
- 635 AtERD10, and AtRAB18, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 1-13.
- 636
- 637 [58] R. Gautier, D. Douguet, B. Antonny, G. Drin, HELIQUEST: a web server to screen sequences
 638 with specific α-helical properties, Bioinformatics 24 (2008) 2101-2102.
- 639
- [59] C.L. Cuevas-Velazquez, G. Saab-Rincón, J.L. Reyes, A.A. Covarrubias, The unstructured
 N-terminal region of *Arabidopsis* group 4 late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins is required
 for folding and for chaperone-like activity under water deficit, J. Biol. Chem. 291 (2016)
 10893-10903.
- 644
- [60] I.S. Moreira, P.A. Fernandes, M.J. Ramos, Hot spots—A review of the protein–protein interface
 determinant amino-acid residues, Proteins: Struct. Funct. Bioinform. 68 (2007) 803-812.
- 647
- 648 [61] A. Zhang, W. Qi, S.K. Singh, E.J. Fernandez, A new approach to explore the impact of

- 649 freeze-thaw cycling on protein structure: hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry
 650 (HX-MS), Pharm. Res. 28 (2011) 1179-1193.
- 651
- [62] P. Tompa, P. Csermely, The role of structural disorder in the function of RNA and proteinchaperones, FASEB J. 18 (2004) 1169-1175.
- 654
- [63] C.L. Cuevas-Velazquez, D.F. Rendón-Luna, A.A. Covarrubias, Dissecting the cryoprotection
 mechanisms for dehydrins, Front. Plant Sci. 5 (2014) 583.
- 657
- 658
- 659 Figure legends
- 660
- 661 Fig. 1. AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T used in this study. Amino acid sequences of AtHIRD11 (A) 662 and AtHIRD110/T (B). K-segment, mutated K-segment, and S-segments are shadowed. Underlines 663 indicate hydrophobic amino acids in AtHIRD11, and Ts in AtHIRD11 Φ/T correspond to the 664hydrophobic amino acids in AtHIRD11. (C, D) AtHIRD11, AtHIRD11Ф/T, FITC-AtHIRD11, and 665 FITC-AtHIRD110/T were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. (C) AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD110/T were 666 visualized by Coomassie blue staining. Protein amounts were 2 μ g (lane 1), 1 μ g (lane 2), and 0.4 μ g 667 (lane 3). (D) FITC-AtHIRD11 and FITC-AtHIRD110/T were detected by a fluorescence imaging 668 system. Proteins were loaded at 5 ng (lane 1), 0.5 ng (lane 2), and 0.1 ng (lane 3). Open and closed 669 arrowheads represent AtHIRD11 (or FITC-AtHIRD11) and AtHIRD110/T (or FITC-AtHIRD110/T). 670Adjustments of brightness and contrast were applied to every pixel in the original images. 671
- **Fig. 2.** Cryoprotective activities of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T. Inhibition of cryoinactivation (A),

673 cryodenaturation (B), and cryoaggregation (C) is shown as PD_{50} values. The concentration of LDH 674 as a monomer was 0.14 μ M. Columns and bars represent means \pm SD (four experiments). Because 675 the PD_{50} values of AtHIRD11 Φ /T were more than 60 μ M (A) and 35 μ M (B and C), the tops of the 676 columns appear as broken.

677

Fig. 3. Cryoprotective activities of AtHIRD11 segments. (A) Corresponding sites for NK1-6 and Kseg are shown. Hydrophobic amino acids are underlined. (B) Inhibition of cryoinactivation, cryodenaturation, and cryoaggregation is shown as PD_{50} values. The concentration of LDH as a monomer was 0.14 μ M. Columns and bars represent means \pm SD (four experiments). Asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) from NK1. When the PD₅₀ values were more than 300 μ M, the tops of the columns appear as broken. In these cases, the asterisks are not labeled.

684

685Fig. 4. Secondary structures of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T. (A) Prediction of disorder by using 686 IUPred (https://iupred2a.elte.hu/) [45]. AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T are shown in solid and broken 687 lines, respectively. The locations of segments are represented. (B) The CD spectra for AtHIRD11 and 688 AtHIRD110/T. SDS was added at concentrations of 0 mM (gray broken lines), 0.1 mM (gray solid 689 lines), 1 mM (black broken lines), and 10 mM (black solid lines). The negative peaks corresponding 690 to random structures are indicated by arrowheads. (C) Secondary structure contents of AtHIRD11 691 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T. Contents of alpha-helix (α) and beta-strand (β) were assessed from the data in B 692 by using K2D3 software (http://cbdm-01.zdv.uni-mainz.de/~andrade/k2d3/) [44]. Asterisks indicate 693 significant differences (p < 0.05) from 0 mM SDS.

694

Fig. 5. Secondary structures of AtHIRD11 segments. (A) The CD spectra of the segments. SDS was
added at concentrations of 0 mM (gray broken lines), 0.1 mM (gray solid lines), 1 mM (black broken

697 lines), and 10 mM (black solid lines). The negative peaks corresponding to random structures are 698 indicated by arrowheads. (B) Secondary structure contents of segments. Contents of alpha-helix (α) 699 and beta-strand (β) were assessed from the data in A by using K2D3 software 700 (http://cbdm-01.zdv.uni-mainz.de/~andrade/k2d3/) [44]. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p701 < 0.05) from 0 mM SDS. 702

102

703

- A AtHIRD11 <u>K-segment</u> <u>MAGLINKIGDALHI</u>GGGNKEGEHKKEEEHKKH<u>V</u>DEHKSGE<mark>HKEGIVDKIKDKIHG</mark>55 S-segment GEGKSHDGEGKSHDGEKKKKKDKKEKKHHDDGHHSSSSDSDD98
- B AtHIRD11Φ/T

 Mutated K-segment

 TAGTTNKTGDATHTGGGNKEGEHKKEEEHKKHTDEHKSGEHKEGTTDKTKDKTHG

 55

 S-segment

 GEGKSHDGEGKSHDGEKKKKKDKKEKKHHDDGHHSSSSDSDSD

 98



Fig. 1 Yokoyama et al.



Fig. 2 Yokoyama et al.











Fig. 4 Yokoyama et al.





Table 1

Molecular weights of peptides determined by analytical ultracentrifugation.

	Molecular weight		Calculated molecular weight
	#1	#2	
FITC-AtHIRD11	14,000	14,200	11,298
FITC-AtHIRD11Φ/T	14,300	13,700	11,236

Experiments were conducted twice (#1 and #2).



Supplementary Fig. 1. Cryoprotective tests for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). (A) An assay scheme. Samples containing LDH, peptides (AtHIRD11 or AtHIRD11 Φ T), and buffer were treated with three freeze-and-thaw cycles. Enzyme activity (cryoinactivation), 8-anilino-1-naphthalene sulfonate (ANS) fluorescence (ex 350 nm, em 470 nm) (cryodenaturation), and turbidity (cryoaggregation) were then determined. Relative cryoinactivation of LDH (B), relative fluorescence intensity (C), and relative turbidity (D) are shown. Values and bars represent means \pm SD (four experiments).

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp

717



Supplementary Fig. 2. Inhibition of cryoinactivation of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled proteins (AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T). The PD₅₀ values and bars represent means ± SD (four experiments).

Title: Cryoprotective activity of Arabidopsis KS-type dehydrin depends on the hydrophobic amino acids of two active segments.

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp

719

720

AtHIRD11

Disordered by Loops/coils definition >none_LOOPS 10-98 maglinkigD ALHIGGGNKE GEHKKEEEHK KHVDEHKSGE HKEGIVDKIK DKIHGGEGKS HDGEGKSHDG EKKKKKDKKE KKHHDDGHHS SSSDSDSD

Disordered by Hot-loops definition >none_HOTLOOPS 1-24, 29-98 MAGLINKIGD ALHIGGGNKE GEHKkeeeHK KHVDEHKSGE HKEGIVDKIK DKIHGGEGKS HDGEGKSHDG EKKKKKDKKE KKHHDDGHHS SSSDSDSD

Disordered by Remark-465 definition >none_REM465 19-40, 55-98 maglinkigd alhigggnKE GEHKKEEEHK KHVDEHKSGE hkegivdkik dkihGGEGKS HDGEGKSHDG EKKKKKDKKE KKHHDDGHHS SSSDSD

AtHIRD11Φ/T

Disordered by Loops/coils definition >none_LOOPS 1-98 TAGTTNKTGD ATHTGGGNKE GEHKKEEEHK KHTDEHKSGE HKEGTTDKTK DKTHGGEGKS HDGEGKSHDG EKKKKKDKKE KKHHDDGHHS SSSDSDSD

Disordered by Hot-loops definition >none_HOTLOOPS 1-98 TAGTTNKTGD ATHTGGGNKE GEHKKEEEHK KHTDEHKSGE HKEGTTDKTK DKTHGGEGKS HDGEGKSHDG EKKKKKDKKE KKHHDDGHHS SSSDSDSD

Disordered by Remark-465 definition >none_REM465 1-98 TAGTTNKTGD ATHTGGGNKE GEHKKEEEHK KHTDEHKSGE HKEGTTDKTK DKTHGGEGKS HDGEGKSHDG EKKKKKDKKE KKHHDDGHHS SSSDSDSD

Supplementary Fig. 3. Disordered regions of AtHIRD11 and AtHIRD11 Φ /T were predicted by DisEMBL Intrinsic Protein Disorder Prediction 1.5 (http://dis.embl.de/). Three types of predictions were provided. Disordered sequences are shown in bold.

Title: Cryoprotective activity of *Arabidopsis* KS-type dehydrin depends on the hydrophobic amino acids of two active segments. Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp

721



Supplementary Fig. 4. AtHIRD11 and related KS-type dehydrins. Amino acid sequences were aligned by Genetyx 6.0. AtHIRD11, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (At1g54410); CsSRC1, *Cucumis sativus* (XP_011652200); DcHIRD11, *Dendrobium catenatum* (XP_020686886); EgDHN10, *Eucalyptus globulus* (AER27689); HaHIRD11, *Helianthus annuus* (XP_021994491); OsLIP5, *Oryza sativa* (BAA24979); PsHIRD11, *Papaver somniferum* (XP_026426999); and SLTI66, *Glycine max* (ABO70349). Hydrophobic residues, positively charged residues, negatively charged residues, and others are shown in orange, magenta, blue, and green, respectively. Sites of NK1, K-segment (Kseg), ChP-segment (ChPseg), and S-segment (Sseg) are indicated.

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M*

*hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp



Supplementary Fig. 5. Helical wheel analysis was performed by using HeliQuest software (https://heliquest.ipmc.cnrs.fr/). Seven segments were analyzed. Alpha-helix mode was chosen. Hydrophobic amino acids are shown in yellow. Hydrophobic moments are shown by arrows.

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp

725



Supplementary Fig. 6. Tertiary structures were predicted by using PEP-FOLD3 software (https://mobyle.rpbs.univparis-diderot.fr/cgi-bin/portal.py#forms::PEP-FOLD3). Seven segments were analyzed. Hydrophobic areas are shown in yellow. Hydrophobic amino acids related to the hydrophobic areas are shown. Red circles and black Xs represent peptides having potent and little cryoprotective activities, respectively.

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp

727



Supplementary Fig. 7. (continued)

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp



Supplementary Fig. 7. Ultracentrifuge analysis. Samples containing lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled proteins (FITC-AtHIRD11 and FITC-AtHIRD11 Φ/T) were centrifuged at 50,000 rpm by using Optima XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman-Coulter). The moving boundaries and the residuals between raw and theoretically fitted data points were obtained (A). Subsequently, the distribution of sedimentation coefficients was calculated by the c(s) method in SEDFIT (B). Peaks corresponding to monomer (m) and tetramer (t) were detected. FAt and FAt Φ T represent FITC-AtHIRD11 and FITC-AtHIRD11 Φ/T , respectively. Detection wavelengths (280 nm and 494 nm) are shown. Arrowheads indicate the positions of LDH. The concentrations of FITC-labeled proteins and LDH were 30 μ M and 20 μ M, respectively.

Authors: Yokoyama T, Ohkubo T, Kamiya K, Hara M* *hara.masakazu@shizuoka.ac.jp