Sperm acrosin is responsible for the sperm binding to the egg envelope during fertilization in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica)

SURE 静岡大学学術リポジトリ Shizuoka University REpository

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2012-04-10
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: Sasanami, Tomohiro, Yoshizaki, Norio, Dohra,
	Hideo, Kubo, Hideo
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10297/6548

1	Title: Sperm acrosin is responsible for the sperm binding to the egg envelope during
2	fertilization in Japanese quail (Coturnix japocica).
3	
4	Author's names: Tomohiro Sasanami ¹ , Norio Yoshizaki ² , Hideo Dohra ³ and Hideo
5	Kubo ⁴
6	
7	Addresses: ¹ Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture,
8	Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan, ² Department of
9	Agricultural Science, Gifu University, Gifu 501-1193, Japan, ³ Institute for Genetic
10	Research and Biotechnology, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Shizuoka 422-8529,
11	Japan, ⁴ Department of Medical Biology, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical
12	Science, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8506, Japan
13	
14	Running head: Sperm acrosin in quail
15	
16	Key words: acrosin, egg envelope, fertilization, Japanese quail
17	
18	Corresponding author: Tomohiro Sasanami
19	Email address: atsasan@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp, Tel & Fax: +81-54-238-4526,
20	Mailing address: Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture,
21	Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 Abstract

 $\mathbf{2}$ An antibody library against quail sperm plasma membrane components was 3 established and a monoclonal antibody (mAb), which strongly inhibits sperm 4 perforations of the perivitelline membrane (pvm) was obtained from the library. The $\mathbf{5}$ antigen molecule of the mAb showed an apparent molecular weight of 45 kDa, and was 6 distributed both on the surface and in the acrosomal matrix of the sperm head. 7 Periodate oxidation revealed that the epitope of the antigen includes a sugar moiety. 8 Tandem mass spectrometry analysis of the antigen revealed that the mAb recognizes 9 sperm acrosin. When sodium dodecyl sulfate-solubilized pvm immobilized on a 10 polyvinylidene difluoride membrane was incubated with sperm plasma membrane 11 lysates, the sperm acrosin was detected on the pvm immobilized on the membrane, 12indicating that the sperm acrosin interacts with the components of pvm. Indeed, the 13 mAb effectively inhibited the binding of acrosome-intact sperm to the pvm. These 14results indicate that the 45-kDa sperm acrosin is involved in the binding of sperm to the 15pvm in fertilization of Japanese quail. 16

1 Introduction

 $\mathbf{2}$ Fertilization is the joining of two gametes, an oocyte and a sperm, and is the 3 consequence of precisely ordered multiple steps, including sperm-egg binding, the 4 induction of the acrosome reaction (AR) on the sperm, and the membrane fusion of the 5gametes. The zona pellucida (ZP), which is an extracellular matrix surrounding 6 mammalian oocytes, plays an important role in fertilization, especially in the primary 7 binding of the sperm and the induction of the AR as well as preventing polyspermy 8 (Florman & Ducibella, 2006). This matrix is composed of three or four glycoproteins (i.e., ZP1, ZP2, ZP3, and ZP4) in mammalian species (Litscher and Wassarman, 2007; 9 10 Lefievre et al., 2004; Ganguly et al., 2008; Hoodbhoy et al., 2005; Izquierdo-Rico et al., 11 2009). In the case of non-mammalian vertebrates such as amphibians (i.e., *Xenopus* 12laevis and X. tropicalis), five ZP glycoproteins (ZPA (ZP2), ZPB (ZP1), ZPC (ZP3), 13 ZPD (ZP4) and ZPAX) have been identified (Lindsay et al., 2003; Goudet et al., 2008). 14The ZP gene family proteins share a highly conserved amino acid sequence near the 15C-terminus called the ZP domain, consisting of about 260 amino acid residues with 8 or 16 10 conserved Cys residues (Bork & Sander, 1992). On the other hand, there are many 17reports suggesting that the sperm membrane proteins are important for sperm-zona 18 interaction. For instance, the sperm-specific hyaluronidase PH-20 (Primakoff et al., 19 1988) and Hyal5 (Kim et al., 2005), beta-1, 4-galactosyltransferase (Miller et al., 1992) 20as well as a secreted protein containing N-terminal Notch-like type II EGF repeats and 21C-terminal discoidin/ F5/8 C domains (SED1) (Ensslin and Shur, 2003) have been 22suggested to be involved in sperm-zona binding in mammalian species, including mice. 23Other factors in fertilization are thought to compensate for these factors, because 24disruption of each gene with homozygous null mutation did not make the animals sterile 25(Ikawa et al., 2008).

26

3 In avian species, the perivitelline membrane (pvm), which is the egg envelope

 $\mathbf{2}$

1 homologous to ZP in mammals, is observed in follicles between granulosa cells and 2ovum before ovulation (Wyburn et al. 1965). Fertilization occurs within the 3 infundibulum portion of the oviduct, and only the pvm encloses the oocyte at the time 4 of fertilization. Sperm-egg interaction in avian species can be measured *in vitro* as the 5ability of the sperm to hydrolyze a small hole in the pvm (Robertson et al., 1997; 6 Kuroki and Mori, 1997). Results of this *in vitro* assay suggested that the *N*-glycans of 7 the pvm play an indispensable role in sperm-egg interaction and the induction of the AR 8 in domestic fowl (Horrocks et al., 2000; Robertson et al., 2000). Recently, we 9 demonstrated that an N-glycan present on ZP1, one of the major pvm components that 10 is produced in the liver under estrogen control (Sasanami et al., 2003), has the ability to 11 induce the AR in Japanese quail (Sasanami *et al.*, 2007). However, identification of 12 the complementary molecules responsible for the sperm-egg interaction in birds, 13 including the components that interact with ZP1 on the surface of the sperm, remains to 14be accomplished. 15Recently, by the aid of an antibody library raised against the sperm plasma 16 membrane of X. laevis (Nagai et al., 2009), Kubo et al. (2010) identified a component 17that can bind to the vitelline envelope (VE) using a newly developed method, the dot 18 blot assay. As a result, the authors successfully obtained a monoclonal antibody 19 (mAb) specific to the sperm membrane protein and showed that the antigen protein

interacts with the VE component gp37, a mammalian ZP1 homologue in *X. laevis*, as
shown by Far Western blotting. Although the nature of the antigen protein remains to
be uncovered, it appeared to be involved in the sperm-VE binding in the fertilization
process of *X. laevis* (Kubo *et al.*, 2010).

The aim of the present study was to determine which proteins in the sperm membrane components play a role in fertilization of Japanese quail. To achieve this goal, we produced an antibody library against quail sperm membrane components and

1 tested the potency of the library to inhibit hole formation in the pvm by sperm *in vitro*.

2 In this paper, we provide the first evidence that sperm acrosin is involved in the

3 sperm-pvm binding in Japanese quail.

4

5 **Results**

6 Effects of monoclonal antibodies on hole formation by sperm on the pvm

7 To obtain an antibody that inhibits fertilization from the antibody library, we tested 8 the potency of each culture supernatant forming the library to block hole formation by 9 sperm on the pvm using an *in vitro* assay. Of the culture supernatants of the library, as 10 the supernatant 19A was found to block hole formation strongly (data not shown), so 11 we subjected the 19A cells to cloning and obtained a hybridoma clone (IgG1 isotype) 12 producing mAb 19A16A13. As shown in Figure 1, this mAb efficiently blocked hole 13 formation by sperm (panel B) when it was compared with the control (panel A). The 14purified antibody from the culture supernatant 19A16A13 definitely inhibited hole 15formation by sperm in a dose-dependent manner (panel C), and the Fab fragment 16 prepared from the purified mAb also significantly blocked the sperm perforation (panel 17D), indicating that the inhibitory effect of the mAb was not due to a stereophonic 18 hindrance by binding of the mAb, but rather to the direct binding of the mAb to the 19 molecule indispensable for hole formation. These results strongly suggest that the 20molecule recognized by the mAb 19A16A13 functions in sperm-egg interaction in quail 21fertilization.

22 Western blot analysis of the antigen of mAb 19A16A13

To investigate the nature of the antigen of mAb 19A16A13, we analyzed sperm plasma membrane lysate (SPML) by Western blotting. As shown in Figure 2, the mAb 19A16A13 recognized a 45-kDa protein under non-reducing conditions (lane 1 – in panel A). No band was detected when the blot was incubated with nonspecific

1 mouse IgG (lane 2 -). The reactivity of the mAb disappeared when SPML was $\mathbf{2}$ separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions (lane 1 +), indicating that the 3 epitope in the molecule includes disulfide bonds. In addition, since the 4 immunoreactivity of the 45-kDa protein to the mAb was completely lost when SPML 5was oxidized with periodate after separation by SDS-PAGE and electrotransfer to a 6 polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (panel B, lane +), we concluded that the 7 45-kDa protein is a glycoprotein whose sugar moiety is included in the epitope of the 8 mAb 19A16A13. This result indicated that the mAb 19A16A13 reacted with a sugar 9 moiety of the glycoprotein.

10 Localization of the antigenic 45-kDa protein in sperm

To analyze the localization of the antigen of the mAb 19A16A13, 45-kDa protein, we
processed ejaculated sperm for immunocytochemical observation (Fig. 3).

13 Immunoreactivity was observed in the anterior head of the sperm (panels A and C). 14No such signal was seen when nonspecific mouse IgG was used as the primary antibody 15(panel D). To analyze the localization of the antigen more precisely, we performed 16 immunoelectron microscopy for detection of the antigen on the ultra-thin sections of 17sperm (Fig. 4). In accordance with the results of the immunocytochemical 18 observations, the immunogold particles were distributed in the acrosomal region of the 19 sperm head (panel B). More importantly, the immunoreactive antigen was found 20within the acrosome (arrows in panel B) and on the surface of the plasma membrane of 21the acrosomal region (arrowheads in panel B). No such accumulation of gold particles 22was observed when the specimens were incubated with control IgG (panel A). These 23observations demonstrated that the antigen reactive to the mAb 19A16A13 specifically 24localizes in the acrosome region of the sperm head and that this antigen localizes both in 25the acrosomal matrix and on the surface of the plasma membrane of the sperm head. 26Identification of the antigenic 45-kDa protein by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)

 $\mathbf{5}$

1 analysis

 $\mathbf{2}$ To elucidate the nature of the antigen of the mAb 19A16A13, 45-kDa protein, we 3 separated SPML with two-dimensional SDS-PAGE, and used MS/MS to examine the 4 tryptic fragments of the immunoreactive spot migrating around 45 kDa in molecular 5Western blot analysis of the proteins separated by two-dimensional weight. 6 SDS-PAGE revealed 45-kDa and 40-kDa spots (arrowheads in panel B). By laying 7 the X-lay film on the PVDF membrane stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) 8 after the chemiluminescent detection, we successfully identified the 45-kDa 9 immunoreactive spots on the CBB stained PVDF membrane, and we excised this spot 10 from CBB stained gel for MS/MS analysis of the antigen (arrowhead in panel A). 11 Using MASCOT MS/MS Ions Search, we identified the antigen protein as quail acrosin 12 (GenBank Accession number ABQ40000) with a score of 261, and 7 peptides 13 corresponding to a sequence coverage of 25% were matched to the amino acid sequence 14of quail acrosin (Table 1). MS and MS/MS data were also analyzed by the *de novo* 15sequencing and protein identification software PEAKS STUDIO and the sequence tag 16 search tool SPIDER to eliminate false positive results and to improve the sequence 17coverage. The antigen protein was identified as quail acrosin with a high score, and 7 18 and 6 peptides were matched to the amino acid sequence of acrosin by PEAKS 19 STUDIO and SPIDER, respectively (Table 1). Results from all search engines used 20for protein identification were consistent and showed that 9 peptides were matched to 21quail acrosin with a sequence coverage of 31% in all (Table 1 and Figure 5C), 22demonstrating that the antigen reactive to the mAb 19A16A13 is quail acrosin. 23Effects of mAb 19A16A13 on the proteolytic activity of 45-kDa sperm acrosin 24To examine whether the mAb 19A16A13 can interfere with the proteolytic activity of 25sperm acrosin, we performed zymography of SPML, as described in Materials and 26 Methods. As shown in Figure 6, the digested protein bands migrating around 60, 45

1 and 31 kDa showed the proteolytic activity in the control gel strip, which was incubated $\mathbf{2}$ in the buffer containing 20 μ g/ml mouse IgG (lane 1). When the gel strip was 3 incubated with soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI) (lane 5) or leupeptin (lane 6), known to 4 inhibit the enzymatic activity of sperm acrosin, halo formation in all the bands was 5inhibited, whereas phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (lane 4) and bestatin (lane 7) 6 had no effect. Unexpectedly, the incubation of the gel strip with the mAb (lane 2) as 7 well as the Fab fragment of mAb 19A16A13 (lane 3) did not interfere with halo 8 Therefore, the mAb 19A16A13 was found not to inhibit the proteolytic formation. 9 activity of 45-kDa sperm acrosin itself.

10 Interaction of sperm acrosin with the pvm

11 To characterize the function of the quail sperm acrosin in fertilization, we tested 12whether the acrosin in SPML interacts with pvm components based on the dot blot 13 assay (Kubo et al., 2010). As shown in Figure 7, the mAb 19A16A13 recognized 14immunoreactive acrosin that interacts with the pvm component immobilized on a PVDF 15membrane. When the pvm and /or SPML were omitted from the assay, the 16 immunoreactive signal was diminished to a background level or lower, indicating the 17specificity and the reliability of the assay performed here. Next, we tested whether the 18 mAb 19A16A13 was able to block the sperm-egg binding directly. We incubated 19 ejaculated sperm with pvm in the presence of pertussis toxin, which inhibits sperm 20acrosome reaction in quail sperm (Sasanami et al., 2007). We found numerous sperm 21attached to the surface of the pvm after incubation in the absence of the mAb (Fig. 8A), 22but the attachment was effectively inhibited when the mAb was added to the reaction 23mixture (Fig. 8B). These results indicated that the 45-kDa sperm acrosin in the plasma 24membrane of ejaculated sperm supports the binding of sperm to the pym in quail 25fertilization.

26

1 **Discussion**

 $\mathbf{2}$ In the present study, we produced an antibody library against quail sperm membrane 3 components, and the mAb 19A16A13, which strongly inhibits sperm perforation of the 4 pvm in vitro, was obtained. From the data of the MS/MS analysis, we showed that 5quail acrosin to be the antigen reactive to the mAb. To our knowledge, this is the first 6 direct evidence showing that the sperm acrosin plays an essential role in avian 7 fertilization. 8 For the penetration of pvm, it is assumed that sperm have to bind to the pvm and 9 undergo an acrosome reaction, digest the pvm protein, and penetrate it. Because the 10 mAb, which recognizes the 45-kDa quail acrosin, can inhibit hole formation in the pvm 11 (Fig. 1), the 45-kDa acrosin has a pivotal role in the fertilization. In chickens and 12 turkeys, that the extracts prepared from the ejaculated sperm contain amidase activity 13 based on the potency to degrade gelatin as well as N- α 14-benzoyl-DL-arginine-*p*-nitroanilide as a substrate (Brown & Hartree, 1976; Froman, 151990; Ho & Meizel, 1975; Richardson et al., 1988; Richardson et al., 1992). This sperm 16 amidase in the extracts is considered to be a trypsin-like protease, since the enzyme 17reaction was inhibited by aprotinin, SBTI, and benzamidine, which inhibit the 18 proteolytic activity of trypsin and the related proteolytic enzymes (Richardson et al., 19 1992). Quite recently, this amidase was isolated from turkey sperm by gel filtration 20and directly identified as acrosin by N-terminal Edman sequencing (Slowinska et al., 212010). These reports suggest that the sperm acrosin functions as a lytic agent in the 22process of sperm penetration by hydrolysis of the pvm in fertilization, although the

23 specific substrate in the pvm has not yet been identified.

In our results, the 45-kDa acrosin showed protease activity that was inhibited by
SBTI and leupeptin; however, the hydrolysis of gelatin was not affected by the addition
of the mAb 19A16A13 or its Fab fragment (Fig. 6). We did not deny the involvement

of sperm acrosin in the process of pvm decomposition; because the epitope of the mAb includes the sugar moiety of the sperm acrosin by periodate oxidation (Fig. 2B). In mice, the active site of acrosin is a catalytic triad of His, Asp and Ser located in the heavy chain in the molecule (Honda *et al.*, 2002), and the contribution of the sugar moiety to the enzymatic activity has not been demonstrated. Considering these observations along with our results, we suppose that the active site and substrate binding site of quail acrosin are not blocked by the mAb 19A16A13.

8 More importantly, our results demonstrated that the 45-kDa sperm acrosin interacts 9 with pvm components immobilized on a PVDF membrane (Fig. 7). In addition, the 10 mAb has potency to directly inhibit sperm binding to the pvm (Fig. 8). From the 11 evidence demonstrated here, the 45-kDa acrosin is suggested to mediate the sperm-pvm 12 binding in quail fertilization. It mice, ZP2 binds to proacrosin-null sperm considerably less effectively than wild-type sperm, and the binding of proacrosin to ZP2 is mediated 13 14by a strong ionic interaction between polysulphate groups on ZP2 and basic residues on 15an internal proacrosin peptide (Howes et al., 2001), resulting to conclude that the 16 ZP2-proacrosin interaction is important for the retention of acrosome reacted sperm on 17the zona pellucida surface. In case of ascidian sperm, paired basic amino acid residues 18 of acrosin are reported to play a key role in the binding of acrosin to the vitelline coat 19 (Kodama et al., 2001). Because ascidian acrosin is released from sperm into the 20surrounding seawater, acrosin is suggested to be also involved in the process of sperm 21penetration through the vitelline coat (Kodama et al., 2001).

In our result, since the 45-kDa acrosin localized both on the surface of the sperm head as well as in the acrosomal matrix, based on the immunoelectron microscopic observations (Fig. 4), the 45-kDa acrosin is suggested to be involved in the primary binding of acrosome-intact sperm to the pvm in addition to the hydrolysis of the pvm. We were not able to identify the binding partner of the 45-kDa acrosin in the pvm,

1 because we failed to detect the specific binding signal of the sperm acrosin to the pvm $\mathbf{2}$ lysate by Far Western blot analysis (data not shown). Although we did not pursue the 3 discrepancy of the results between the dot blot assay and Far Western blotting, we 4 assume that the interaction of the 45-kDa acrosin with the pvm is not simply mediated 5via a single molecule but supported by a complex of pvm proteins. Actually, we 6 previously reported that the interaction of ZP1 and ZP3 (Ohtsuki et al., 2004; Sasanami 7 et al., 2005) as well as that of ZP2 and ZP3 (Kinoshita et al., 2010) play a role in the 8 formation of the pvm during follicular development in quail. Moreover, we found that 9 the sperm acrosin contains disufide-bonded three-dimensional arrangement with a 10 modification of sugar moiety in the molecule, though a role of these structures for the 11 sperm-egg interaction in fertilization remains to be studied (Fig. 2). Further 12 experiments will be needed to elucidate the binding machinery of the acrosin and the 13 pvm in fertilization.

14Baba et al. (1994) demonstrated that acrosin-null male mice produced normal sperm 15in motility and were fertile; therefore, acrosin is not essential for fertilization, at least in 16 the mouse. Although we are not able to draw a conclusion about whether the sperm 17acrosin is essential for quail fertilization due to the limitation of the technology (*i.e.*, 18 lack of the gene knockout technique in birds), Adham et al. (1997) reported that in an in 19 vitro fertilization assay with equal numbers of acrosin-knockout (-/-) and wild type 20(+/+) sperm present in the medium, all the embryos derived from the fertilized eggs 21were of the (+/+) genotype. Their finding might indicate the presence of unknown 22mechanisms for sperm competition related to the function of acrosin in the sperm-egg 23recognition process. Thus, sperm acrosin is not essential, but plays critical role for 24fertilization in mice. Actually, We know at present that acrosin is responsible for the 25dispersal of the acrosomal contents during acrosome reaction (Yamagata et al., 1998). 26Our current findings in Japanese quail also suggest the importance of sperm acrosin for

1 fertilization since this amidase is responsible for the process of sperm-egg binding.

In conclusion, this investigation provides the first evidence that the sperm acrosin is responsible for the binding of sperm to the pvm in quail fertilization. Further studies are required to elucidate whether the sperm acrosin is involved in other events of fertilization such as the induction of acrosome reaction, penetration of the pvm or the membrane fusion of gametes.

7

8 Materials and Methods

9 Animals and tissue preparation

10 Male and female Japanese quail, Coturnix japonica, 15-30 weeks of age (Kato-farm, 11 Toyohashi, Japan), were maintained individually under a photoperiod of 14L: 10D (with 12 the light on at 0500) and were provided with water and a commercial diet 13 (Tokai-Hokuriku Nosan, Chita, Japan) ad libitum. The female animals were 14decapitated and the largest preovulatory follicles were dissected. The granulosa layer 15from the largest preovulatory follicles was isolated as a sheet of granulosa cells 16 sandwiched between the pvm and basal laminae, as previously described (Gilbert et al. 171977). The pvm was isolated according to a procedure described by Sasanami *et al.* 18 (2002). The pvm was then dissolved in 1% SDS (w/v) buffered at pH 6.8 with 70 m mol 1^{-1} Tris–HCl overnight at room temperature. After centrifugation at 10, 000 g for 10 min, 19 20the supernatants were served as pvm lysates and the protein concentration of the lysates 21was measured using a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). A proctodeal 22gland secretion was obtained manually from male quail as meringue-like foam. This 23foam was then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min, and the supernatants collected were 24stored as proctodeal gland secretion at -80 °C until use. 25All the experimental procedures for the use and the care of animals in the present

26 An the experimental procedures for the use and the care of animals in the present
 26 study were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Shizuoka University (approval)

1 number, 22-12).

2 Semen collection and preparation

3 Ejaculated semen was obtained from male quail prior to mating according to the 4 procedure of Kuroki & Mori (1997). Semen obtained from two to three males was suspended in Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 1.25 m mol l⁻¹ of CaCl₂ 56 and 0.1% (v/v) of proctodeal gland secretion. We added proctodeal gland secretion to 7 the incubation mixture since it inhibits an agglutination of quail sperm. The 8 concentrations of sperm were measured with a hemocytometer and the sperm viabilities 9 were determined using the LIVE/DEAD sperm viability kit according to the 10 manufacturer's instructions (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). In all the 11 experiments, sperm were incubated at 39 °C.

12 **Production of monoclonal antibody**

13 Ejaculated sperm were washed 3 times with PBS by repeated centrifugation at 800 x g for 5 min, and the sperm were then suspended in homogenization buffer containing 14110 m mol l⁻¹ NaCl, 1 m mol l⁻¹ EDTA, 1 m mol l⁻¹PMSF, and 50 m mol l⁻¹Tris-HCl 1516 (pH 7.4) for disruption with an ultrasonic disruptor at the medium power for 10 sec on 17ice. The sonication was performed for 10 times. The homogenates were centrifuged at 18 10,000 x g for 10 min for the removal of cellular debris, and the supernatant was 19 ultracentrifuged at 100,000 x g for 1 hr at 4°C. The plasma membrane fraction obtained 20as a precipitate was dissolved in 0.8 ml of lysis buffer (homogenization buffer supplemented with 250 µ mol l⁻¹ digitonin and 1 % (w/v) Nonidet P-40) and sonicated 2122as described above. After centrifugation at 20, 000 x g for 10 min, the supernatant, 23referred to as sperm plasma membrane lysate (SPML), was divided into aliquots and 24stored at -80°C until use.

Immunization of mice with sperm plasma membrane, cell fusion of lymphocytes
from immunized mice with myeloma PAI, and establishment of an antibody library

against sperm plasma membrane components were carried out as described previously
 (Nagai *et al.*, 2009).

The mAb 19A16A13-producing hybridoma cloned by screening as described in the next section (*in vitro* assay for sperm-egg interaction) was cultured in 300 ml of HAT supplemented medium, and the mAb 19A16A13 (IgG1 isotype) was purified from the conditioned medium with a Protein A-coupled Affi-gel (Bio-Rad Laboratories) column according to the manufacturer's protocol. Fab fragments of the purified mAb were prepared with a Pierce Fab preparation kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA)

9 In vitro assay for sperm-egg interaction

10 To observe the inhibitory activity of each culture supernatant against the sperm 11 penetration of the pvm, a piece of pvm, approximately 8 mm in diameter, was incubated in a micro test tube with 0.5ml of sperm suspension at 1×10^7 sperm /ml in HBSS at 1213 39 °C for 30 min in the presence or absence of the culture supernatant. After 30 min 14of incubation, the reaction was terminated by placing the tube on ice, and the pvm was washed 3 times with ice-cold PBS. The pvm was transferred onto a glass slide and 1516 stained with Schiff's reagent after fixation with 3.7 % (v/v) formaldehyde in PBS. The 17number of holes formed on the pvm in the x40 field was counted under a light 18 microscope (BX 51, Olympus Optics, Tokyo, Japan). At least five areas were 19 randomly selected for enumeration of perforations.

For the observation of the sperm binding to the pvm, $2 \mu g/ml$ pertussis toxin was added to the reaction mixture during the sperm-pvm incubation, which was previously

22 reported to inhibit sperm acrosome reaction in quail (Sasanami *et al.*, 2007). After the

23 incubation, the nuclei of the adherent sperm were stained with 4',

24 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) after fixation, and the numbers of sperm attached

to the pvm were observed under a fluorescent microscope (BX51).

26 Gel electrophoresis and Western blot analysis

1	SDS-PAGE under non-reducing or reducing conditions was carried out according to
2	Laemmli (1970) using 12% and 5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel for resolving and stacking,
3	respectively. For Western blotting, proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were
4	electrotransferred to a PVDF membrane (Immobilon-P, Millipore Bedford, MA, USA)
5	(Matsudaira, 1987). The membrane incubated with mAb 19A16A13 (10 μ g/ml)
6	followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Cappel, Durham, NC,
7	USA) as a secondary antibody was visualized by means of a chemiluminescent
8	technique (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, USA).
9	For periodate oxidation of SPML proteins, a PVDF strip electrotransferred with
10	SPML was oxidized for 20 min with 10 m mol l ⁻¹ sodium metaperiodate in 100 m mol
11	l^{-1} acetate buffer (pH 5.5) in the dark. After brief rinsing with PBS, the aldehyde group
12	formed was reduced with 100 m mol l^{-1} sodium borohydride in PBS for 15 min. After
13	washing with PBS, the strip was subjected to immunoblotting as described above.
13 14	washing with PBS, the strip was subjected to immunoblotting as described above. <i>Zymography</i>
14	Zymography
14 15	Zymography SPML (10 μg protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v)
14 15 16	Zymography SPML (10 μ g protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle,
14 15 16 17	Zymography SPML (10 μg protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle, 1980). After the electrophoresis, the gel strips were excised along the lane casting, and
14 15 16 17 18	<i>Zymography</i> SPML (10 μ g protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle, 1980). After the electrophoresis, the gel strips were excised along the lane casting, and each gel was incubated with 0.1 mol l ⁻¹ glycine buffer (pH 8.0) containing 20 μ g/ml
14 15 16 17 18 19	Zymography SPML (10 μg protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle, 1980). After the electrophoresis, the gel strips were excised along the lane casting, and each gel was incubated with 0.1 mol l ⁻¹ glycine buffer (pH 8.0) containing 20 μg/ml mouse IgG1, 20 μg/ml mAb 19A16A13, 40 μg/ml Fab fragment of mAb 19A16A13, 1
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Zymography SPML (10 μ g protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle, 1980). After the electrophoresis, the gel strips were excised along the lane casting, and each gel was incubated with 0.1 mol 1 ⁻¹ glycine buffer (pH 8.0) containing 20 μ g/ml mouse IgG1, 20 μ g/ml mAb 19A16A13, 40 μ g/ml Fab fragment of mAb 19A16A13, 1 m mol 1 ⁻¹ PMSF, 50 μ g/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI), 0.5 μ g/ml leupeptin, or 40
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<i>Zymography</i> SPML (10 μ g protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle, 1980). After the electrophoresis, the gel strips were excised along the lane casting, and each gel was incubated with 0.1 mol 1 ⁻¹ glycine buffer (pH 8.0) containing 20 μ g/ml mouse IgG1, 20 μ g/ml mAb 19A16A13, 40 μ g/ml Fab fragment of mAb 19A16A13, 1 m mol 1 ⁻¹ PMSF, 50 μ g/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI), 0.5 μ g/ml leupeptin, or 40 μ g/ml bestatin at 4 °C for 1 hr with gentle agitation. After the incubation, the gel
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<i>Zymography</i> SPML (10 µg protein) was separated with an SDS-PAGE gel containing 0.1 % (w/v) gelatin according to the procedure described in the previous report (Heussen & Dowdle, 1980). After the electrophoresis, the gel strips were excised along the lane casting, and each gel was incubated with 0.1 mol 1^{-1} glycine buffer (pH 8.0) containing 20 µg/ml mouse IgG1, 20 µg/ml mAb 19A16A13, 40 µg/ml Fab fragment of mAb 19A16A13, 1 m mol 1^{-1} PMSF, 50 µg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI), 0.5 µg/ml leupeptin, or 40 µg/ml bestatin at 4 °C for 1 hr with gentle agitation. After the incubation, the gel strips were incubated at 37 °C for 3 hr to promote the enzyme reaction. After the

25MS/MS analysis

1 SPML (40 µg protein) was separated by SDS-PAGE as described above and the $\mathbf{2}$ proteins were visualized by CBB staining. The gel strip was excised along with the 3 lane casting and incubated with Laemmli's sample buffer (Laemmli, 1970) without 4 2-mercaptoethanol for 15 min. After the incubation, the gel strip was loaded on an 5SDS-PAGE gel, and the proteins were again separated with SDS-PAGE as described 6 above. After the SDS-PAGE, the proteins were electotransferred to a PVDF 7 membrane, and the immunoreactive spot with mAb 19A16A13 was detected as 8 described above. After the detection, the PVDF membrane was washed 3 times with 9 PBS and stained with CBB. After the staining, the X-lay film already developed to 10 have the chemiluminescent signal was laid on the stained membrane, allowing us to 11 identify the antigen spot. The gel strip containing SPML (40 μ g) was separated with 12 another SDS-PAGE gel, stained with CBB and a piece of the gel (approximately 1 mm 13 x 1 mm square) containing antigen protein identified as described above was excised. 14The proteins in the gel were reduced with 10 mM DTT in 50 mM ammonium 15bicarbonate, S-alkylated cysteine with 55 mM iodoacetamide in 50 mM ammonium 16 bicarbonate and digested with 10 ng/ μ L of sequence grade trypsin (Promega 17Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) at 37°C overnight. The peptides were extracted 18 from the gel with 50% (v/v) acetonitrile (ACN) and 5% (v/v) formic acid, and 19 concentrated using a centrifugal evaporator. The peptide solution was diluted with 10 20 μ L of 0.3% (v/v) formic acid to be suitable for LC-MS/MS analysis. 21LC-MS/MS analysis was performed using a linear ion trap time-of-flight mass 22spectrometer (LIT-TOF MS), NanoFrontier eLD (Hitachi High-Technologies 23Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) coupled to a nano-flow HPLC, NanoFrontier nLC (Hitachi 24High-Technologies Corporation). Peptides extracted from the gel were trapped and 25desalted with a C18 monolith trap column (0.05 mm ID x 150 mm long) (Hitachi 26High-Technologies Corporation) and then loaded onto a MonoCap C18 Fast-flow

1 column (0.05 mm ID x 150 mm long) (GL Sciences, Inc.) and eluted with a linear $\mathbf{2}$ gradient from 2% to 40% solvent B in 60 min at a flow rate of 200 nL/min. Solvent A 3 was 2% ACN and 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was 98% ACN and 0.1% formic 4 acid. The eluent was ionized with a nano-electrospray ionization source equipped with 5an uncoated SilicaTip (New Objective, Woburn, MA, USA) and analyzed with a 6 LIT-TOF MS. Mass spectra were obtained in positive ion mode at scan mass range 7 m/z 200–2,000. MS/MS spectra were generated by collision-induced dissociation in 8 the linear ion trap. 9 To identify the antigen protein, we converted the MS and MS/MS data to a 10 MGF file using a NanoFrontier eLD Data Processing software (Hitachi 11 High-Technologies Corporation) and analyzed the data with MASCOT MS/MS Ions 12Search (Perkins et al., 1999) (http://www.matrixscience.com/) using the following 13 parameters: Database, NCBInr; Enzyme, Trypsin; Missed Cleavages, 3; Taxonomy, all 14entries; Fixed modifications, Carbamidomethyl (C); Variable modifications, Oxidation 15(HW) and Oxidation (M); Peptide tolerance, 0.2 Da; MS/MS tolerance, 0.2 Da; Peptide 16 charge, 1+, 2+, and 3+; and Instrument, ESI-TRAP. To confirm the reliability of the 17result of the protein identification by MASCOT, we also used the *de novo* sequencing 18 and protein identification software PEAKS STUDIO (Bioinformatics Solutions Inc., 19 Waterloo, ON, Canada) (Ma et al., 2003) and the sequence tag search tool SPIDER 20(Han et al., 2005).

21 Immunofluorescence microscopy

Ejaculated sperm were diluted to 1x 10⁷ /ml and fixed in 3.7% (v/v) formaldehyde in PBS at room temperature for 10 min. The fixed sperm were smeared on poly-L-lysine-coated microscope slides. After air drying, the slides were washed with PBS for 5 min, and the cells were incubated with PBS containing 1% BSA and 10% normal goat serum for 1 h for blocking. The cells were then incubated with mAb

19A16A13 (10 µg/ml) or mouse IgG (10 µg/ml) for 2 h at 4 °C. After washing with
 PBS, they were incubated with Texas red-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG (1:200,
 Cappel) for 1 h at 4 °C. After washing with PBS they were embedded in glycerol and
 examined under a fluorescence microscope equipped with an interference-contrast
 apparatus with a 40 x objective (BX 51, Olympus Optics, Tokyo, Japan).

6 Immunoelectron microscopy

Ejaculated sperm embedded in 3% (w/v) agarose were fixed with 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 mol ml⁻¹ cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) overnight at 4 °C. The specimens were embedded in Lowicryl K₄M resin (Polysciences, Warrington, PA, USA). Thin sections were first treated with mAb 19A16A13 (10 μ g/ml) or mouse IgG (10 μ g/ml), and then with a gold-conjugated goat antiserum against mouse IgG (1:30) (E-Y Laboratories, San Mateo, CA, USA). They were stained with uranyl acetate and observed with a model H-8000 electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan)

14 Dot blot assay

15The dot blot assay was performed according to Kubo et al. (2010). A PVDF sheet 16 (Immobilon-P; Millipore) wetted with methanol and then with PBS was set in a dot 17blotter (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and 100 µl of pvm lysate at 10 µg protein/ml was added 18 to each well. Twenty minutes later, the pvm solution was removed by suction, and the 19 wells were washed by suction with PBS three times. Thereafter, the solution in the well 20was externally aspirated out for disposal. The wells were blocked for 30 min with 21blocking reagent N101 (NOF Corporation, Japan). After blocking, the wells were rinsed 22once each with PBS and then with lysis buffer, and 100 µl of SPML at 50 µg protein/ml 23was added to each well, and the wells were incubated for 30 min. After the incubation, 24the wells were washed twice with lysis buffer and then once with PBS and were again 25blocked with the blocker in the same manner. After wells were washed with PBS, 100 26 μ l of culture supernatant was added to each well and the wells were incubated for 30

1	min. The wells were washed three times with PBS containing 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20,
2	and the bound antibodies were labeled for 30 min with 50 $\mu l/well$ of
3	peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (GE Healthcare) 5,000-fold diluted with 5%
4	(w/v) skim milk in PBS. The wells were washed three times with 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20 $$
5	in PBS, and the PVDF sheet removed from the blotter was washed again with vigorous
6	shaking three times and then subjected to a chemiluminescent detection system as
7	described above.
8	Data Analysis
9	Data in Fig. 1C were analyzed for significant differences using ANOVA, and means
10	were compared using Tukey's Multiple Range test. For Fig. 1D, data were analyzed
11	by the student's <i>t</i> -test. A <i>P</i> value of less than 0.05 denoted the presence of a
12	statistically significant difference.
13	
14	Declaration of interest
15	The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as
16	prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.
17	
18	Funding
19	This work was supported in part by financial support from Grant-in-Aid for
20	Challenging Exploratory Research (21658100 to T. Sasanami), Grant-in-Aid for
21	Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (22112509 to T. Sasanami) and The Sumitomo
22	Foundation (101069 to T. Sasanami).
23	
24	Acknowledgements
25	The authors are grateful to Mr. T. Sakakibara and Dr. K. Yoshimatsu for technical

assistance.

References

3	Adham IM, Nayernia K & Engel W 1997 Spermatozoa lacking acrosin protein
4	show delayed fertilization. Molecular Reproduction & Development 46 370-376.
5	Baba T, Azuma S, Kashiwabara S & Toyoda Y 1994 Sperm from mice carrying
6	a targeted mutation of the acrosin gene can penetrate the oocyte zona pellucida and
7	effect fertilization. Journal of Biological Chemistry 269 31845-31849.
8	Bork P & Sander C 1992 A large domain common to sperm receptors (ZP2 and
9	ZP3) and TGF-β type III receptor. <i>FEBS Letter</i> 300 237-240.
10	Brown CR & Hartree EF 1976 Comparison of neutral proteinase activities in cock
11	and ram spermatozoa and observations on a proacrosin in cock spermatozoa. Journal of
12	Reproduction & Fertility 46 155-164.
13	Ensslin MA & Shur BD 2003 Identification of mouse sperm SED1, a bimotif EGF
14	repeat and discoidin-domain protein involved in sperm-egg binding. Cell 114 405-417.
15	Florman HM & Ducibella T 2006 In Physiology of Reproduction, 3 edn, Vol 1,
16	pp 55–112. Ed. JD Neill. St Louis, MO, USA: Elsevier Academic Press.
17	Froman DP 1989 Chicken acrosin: Extraction and purification. Poultry Science 69
18	812-817.
19	Ganguly A, Sharma RK & Gupta SK (2008) Bonnet monkey (Macaca radiata)
20	ovaries, like human oocytes, express four zona pellucida glycoproteins. Molecular
21	Reproduction & Development 75 156-166.
22	Gilbert AB, Evans AJ, Perry MM & Davidson MH 1977 A method for
23	separating the granulosa cells, the basal lamina and the theca of the preovulatory
24	ovarian follicle of the domestic fowl (Gallus domesticus). Journal of Reproduction &
25	<i>Fertility</i> 50 179–181.
26	Goudet G, Mugnier S, Callebaut I & Monget P 2008 Phylogenetic analysis and

1 identification of pseudogenes reveal a progressive loss of zona pellucida genes during

2 evolution of vertebrates. *Biology of Reproduction* **78** 796-806.

Han Y, Ma B & Zhang K 2005 SPIDER: Software for Protein Identification from
Sequence Tags Containing De Novo Sequencing Error. *Journal of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology* 3 697-716.
Heussen C & Dowdle EB 1980 Electrophoretic analysis of plasminogen activators

7 in polyacrylamide gels containing sodium dodecyl sulfate and copolymerized substrates.
8 *Analytical Biochemistry* **102** 196-202.

9 Ho JJL & Meizel S 1975 Multiple molecular forms of avian acrosin: Differences
10 in their kinetic properties. *FEBS Letters* 56 115-119.

Honda A, Siruntawineti J & Baba T 2002 Role of acrosomal matrix proteases in
 sperm-zona pellucida interactions. *Human Reproduction Update* 8 405-412.

13 Hoodbhoy T, Joshi S, Boja ES, Williams SA, Stanley P & Dean J 2005 Human

sperm do not bind to rat zonae pellucidae despite the presence of four homologous

15 glycoproteins. Journal of Biological Chemistry 280 12721-12731.

16 Horrocks AJ, Stewart S, Jackson L & Wishart GJ 2000 Induction of acrosomal

17 exocytosis in chicken spermatozoa by inner perivitelline-derived N-linked glycans.

18 Biochemical & Biophysical Research Communications 278 84-89.

19 Howes E, Pascall JC, Engel W & Jones R 2001 Interactions between mouse ZP2

20 glycoprotein and proacrosin; a mechanism for secondary binding of sperm to the zona

21 pellucida during fertilization. *Journal of Cell Science* **114** 4127-4136.

22 Ikawa M, Inoue N & Okabe M 2008 Mechanisms of sperm-egg interactions

23 emerging from gene-manipulated animals. International Journal of Developmental

24 Biology **52** 657-664.

25 Izquierdo-Rico MJ, Jimenez-Movilla M, Llop E, Perez-Oliva AB, Ballesta J,

26 Gutierrez-Gallego R, Jimenez-Cervantes C & Aviles M 2009 Hamster zona

1 pellucida is formed by four glycoproteins: ZP1, ZP2, ZP3, and ZP4. Journal of

2 Proteome Research **8** 926-941.

3 Kim E, Baba D, Kimura M, Yamashita M, Kashiwabara S and Baba T 2005 4 Identification of a hyaluronidase, hyal5, involved in penetration of mouse sperm 5through cumulus mass. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 102 6 18028-18033. 7 Kinoshita M, Rodler D, Sugiura K, Matsushima K, Kansaku N, Tahara K, 8 Tsukada A, Ono H, Yoshimura T, Yoshizaki N, Tanaka R, Kohsaka T & Sasanami 9 **T** 2010 Zona pellucida protein ZP2 is expressed in the oocyte of Japanese quail 10 (Coturnix japonica). Reproduction 139 359-371. 11 Kodama E, Baba T, Yokosawa H & Sawada H 2001 cDNA cloning and 12functional analysis of ascidian sperm. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276 13 24594-24600. 14Kubo H, Shiga K, Harada Y & Iwao Y 2010 Analysis of a sperm surface 15molecule that binds to a vitelline envelope component of *Xenopus laevis* eggs. 16 Molecular Reproduction & Development 77 728-735. 17Kuroki M & Mori M 1997 Binding of spermatozoa to the perivitelline layer in the 18 presence of a protease inhibitor. *Poultry Science* 76 748-752. 19 Laemmli UK 1970 Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head 20of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227 680-685. 21Lefievre L, Conner SJ, Salpekar A, Olufowobi O, Ashton P, Pavlovic B, 22Lenton W, Afnan M, IBrewis IA & Monk M 2004 Four zona pellucida glycoproteins 23are expressed in the human. Human Reproduction 19 1580-1586. 24Lindsay LL, Pwavy TR, Lejano RS & Hedrick JL 2003 Cross-fertilization and 25structural comparison of egg extracellular matrix glycoproteins from *Xenopus laevis* 26and Xenopus tropicalis. Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology, A 136 343-352.

1 Litscher ES & Wassarman PM 2007 Egg extracellular coat proteins: From fish to $\mathbf{2}$ mammals. Histology & Histopathology, 22 337-347. 3 Ma B, Zhang K, Hendrie C, Liang C, Li M, Doherty-Kirby A & Lajoie G 2003 4 PEAKS: Powerful software for peptide *de novo* sequencing by MS/MS. *Rapid* $\mathbf{5}$ Communications in Mass Spectrometry 17 2337-2342. 6 Matsudaira P 1987 Sequence from picomole quantities of proteins electroblotted 7 onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Journal of Biological Chemistry 262 8 10035-10038. 9 Miller DJ, Macek MB & Shur BD 1992 Complementarity between sperm surface 10 β 1, 4-galactosyltransferase and egg-coat ZP3 mediates sperm-egg binding. *Nature*, **357** 11 589-593. 12Nagai K, Ishida T, Hashimoto T, Harada Y, Ueno S, Kubo H & Iwao Y 2009 13 The sperm-surface glycoprotein, SGP, is necessary for fertilization in the frog, *Xenopus* 14leavis. Development, Growth & Differentiation 51 499-510. 15Ohtsuki M, Hanafy AM, Mori M & Sasanami T 2004 Involvement of interaction 16 of ZP1 and ZPC in the formation of quail perivitelline membrane. Cell & Tissue 17*Research* **318** 565-570. 18 Perkins DN, Pappin DJC, Creasy DM, Cottrell JS 1999 Probability-based 19 protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. 20Electrophoresis 20 3551–3567. 21Primakoff P, Lathrop W, Woolman L, Cowan A & Myles D 1988 Fully 22effective contraception in male and female guinea pigs immunized with the sperm 23protein ph-20. Nature, 335 543-546. 24Richardson ME, Bodine AB, Froman DP & Thurston RJ 1988 Turkey acrosin. I. 25Isolation, purification and partial characterization. *Biology of Reproduction* **38** 645-651. 26Richardson ME, Korn N, Bodine AB & Thurston RJ 1992 Kinetic and

1 inhibition studies with turkey acrosin. Poultry Science 71 1789-1793. $\mathbf{2}$ Robertson L, Brown HL, Staines HJ & Wishart GJ 1997 Characterization and 3 application of an avian in vitro spermatozoa-egg interaction assay using the inner 4 perivitelline layer from laid chicken egg. Journal of Reproduction & Fertility 110 205-211. 56 Robertson L, Wishart GJ & Horrocks AJ 2000 Identification of perivitelline 7 N-inked glycans as mediators of sperm-egg interaction in chickens. Journal of 8 Reproduction & Fertility 120 397-403. 9 Sasanami T, Murata T, Ohtsuki M, Matsushima K, Hiyama G, Kansaku N & 10 Mori M 2007 Induction of sperm acrosome reaction by perivitelline glycoprotein ZP1 11 in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica). Reproduction 133 41-49. 12Sasanami T, Ohtsuki M, Ishiguro T, Matsushima K, Hiyama G, Kansaku N, 13 Doi Y & Mori M 2006 Zona pellucida domain of ZPB1 controls specific binding of 14ZPB1 and ZPC in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica). Cells, Tissues, Organs 183 1541-52. 16 Sasanami T, Pan J, Doi Y, Hisada M, Kohsaka T & Toriyama M 2002 17Secretion of egg envelope protein ZPC after C-terminal proteolytic processing in quail 18 granulosa cells. European Journal of Biochemistry 269 2223-2231. 19 Sasanami T, Pan J & Mori M 2003 Expression of perivitelline membrane 20glycoprotein ZP1 in the liver of Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica) after in vivo 21treatment with diethylstilbestrol. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry & Molecular Biology 22**84** 109-116. 23Slowinska M, Olczak M, Liszewska E, Watorek W & Ciereszko A 2010 24Isolation, characterization and cDNA sequencing of acrosin from turkey spermatozoa. 25Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology, Part B 157 127-136. 26Wyburn GM, Aitken RNC & Johnston HS 1965 The ultrastructure of the zona

1 radiata of the ovarian follicle of the domestic fowl. *Journal of Anatomy* **99** 469-484.

2 Yamagata K, Murayama M, Okabe M, Toshimori K, Nakanichi T,

- 3 Kashiwabara S & Baba T 1998 Acrosin accelerates the dispersal of sperm acrosomal
- 4 proteins during acrosome reaction. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **273** 10470-10474.
- $\mathbf{5}$

1 Figure legends

 $\mathbf{2}$ Fig. 1 Effects of mAb on the *in vitro* formation of the holes on the pvm by ejaculated 3 sperm. Ejaculated sperm were incubated with pvm in the presence of the conditioned 4 medium of hybridoma 19A16A13 (panel B) or HAT-supplemented medium alone (panel A) at 39 °C for 10 min. The pym was spread on a glass slide, washed with $\mathbf{5}$ 6 PBS, stained with Schiff's reagent, and observed under a light microscope. Shown are 7representative photographs of 10 independent experiments. Scale bar = $100 \mu m$. 8 (C) Ejaculated sperm were incubated with pvm in the presence of purified mAb 9 19A16A13 (1, 3 or 10 μ g/ml) or mouse IgG (10 μ g/ml) at 39 °C for 10 min, and the 10 number of holes observed in the x400 field under light microscopy was counted. Data 11 shown are the mean \pm SD of 3 experiments. Values with different superscripts are 12significantly different (P < 0.01). (D) A Fab fragment was prepared from purified 13 mAb 19A16A13, and ejaculated sperm were incubated with pvm at 39 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min 14in the presence of 50 μ g/ml Fab fragment (Fab) or vehicle alone (Cont). The number 15of holes observed in the x400 field under light microscopy was counted. Data shown 16 are the mean \pm SD of 3 experiments. An asterisk indicates a significant difference, P < 170.01. 18 Fig. 2 Western blot analysis of SPML with mAb 19A16A13. (A) SPML (5 µg/lane) 19 was separated by SDS-PAGE under non-reducing (-) or reducing (+) conditions, and the 20proteins were electrotransferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was probed 21with mAb 19A16A13 (panel 1, 10 μ g/ml) or mouse IgG (panel 2, 10 μ g/ml). The 22arrow indicates the immunoreactive band with mAb 19A16A13.

23 (B) SPML (10 μg/lane) was separated by SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions,

and the proteins were transferred onto a PVDF membrane. Intact (-) and

25 periodate-oxidized (+) strips were subjected to incubation with mAb 19A16A13 (10

 $26 \mu g/ml$). The arrow indicates the immunoreactive band with mAb 19A16A13.

1 Fig. 3 Localization of the antigen of mAb 19A16A13 in ejaculated sperm. The $\mathbf{2}$ ejaculated sperm were fixed with 3.7% (v/v) formalin, and the sperm were spread on 3 glass slides. The specimens were incubated with mAb 19A16A13 (10 μ g/ml), and the 4 signals were detected with Texas red-labeled anti mouse IgG (panel A, 1:200 dilution). 5The nuclei of the sperm visualized with DAPI are shown (panel B). C: A merge image of A, B, and DIC is shown. As a control, the mAb 19A16A13 was replaced with 6 7mouse IgG (panel D, $10 \mu g/ml$). Bar=50 μm . 8 Fig. 4 Immunoelectron micrographs of ejaculated sperm. Ultra-thin sections of quail 9 sperm were incubated with mAb 19A16A13 (panel B, 10 µg/ml) or mouse IgG (panel A, 10 The accumulation of gold particles was frequently found in the sperm $10 \,\mu g/ml$). 11 acrosome when the sections were incubated with mAb 19A16A13 (arrows and 12arrowheads in panel B), whereas no accumulation was seen on the sections incubated 13 with mouse IgG (panel A). Note that the immunogold particles were localized both on 14the surface (arrowheads in panel B) and inside (arrows in panel B) of the acrosome. 15Shown are results representative of repeated experiments. Bar = 500 nm. 16 Fig. 5 MS/MS analysis of the antigen of mAb 19A16A13. SPML (40 µg protein) was 17separated by two-dimensional SDS-PAGE, and the proteins were detected with CBB 18 (A) or Western blotting using mAb 19A16A13 (B). The position of the 45-kDa 19 antigen spot is shown (arrow in panel A). (C) The deduced amino acid sequence of 20quail acrosin (GenBank Accession number ABQ40000). The peptide fragments 21detected by MS/MS analysis are shown as bold letters. 22Fig. 6 Zymography of SPML. SPML (10 µg protein) was separated with a 23SDS-PAGE gel containing gelatin, and the gel strips were cut along the lane casting. 24Each gel strip was then incubated with mouse IgG (lane 1), mAb 19A16A13 (lane 2), 25Fab fragment of the mAb (lane 3), PMSF (lane 4), SBTI (lane 5), leupeptin (lane 6), or 26bestatin (lane 7). One additional strip was processed for Western blotting with mAb

1	19A16A13 (lane 8). The position of the 45-kDa acrosin is shown by an arrow on the
2	right side of the figure. Shown are results representative of three repeated experiments.
3	Fig. 7 Dot blot assay with mAb 19A16A13. SDS-solubilized pvm or lysis buffer alone
4	was dot blotted onto a PVDF sheet by the aid of a dot blotter and then blocked with
5	N101 blocking reagent. Each dot was incubated with SPML (50 μ g/ml) or lysis buffer
6	only as a control to bind the sperm membrane components in SPML. The dots were
7	incubated with mAb 19A16A13 and then with a horseradish peroxidase-coupled
8	secondary antibody. The PVDF sheet was visualized with an ECL detection system.
9	Shown are results representative of three repeated experiments.
10	Fig. 8 Effects of mAb 19A16A13 on the interaction of ejaculated sperm and pvm.
11	Ejaculated sperm were incubated with pvm in the presence of 20 μ g/ml of mAb
12	19A16A13 (panel B) or mouse IgG (panel A). After washing with PBS, the pvm was
13	spread on glass slides, fixed with formalin and observed under a fluorescence
14	microscope. The sperm nuclei were stained with DAPI. Shown are representative
15	photographs of two independent experiments. Bar = $20 \mu m$.