SURE 静岡大学学術リポジトリ Shizuoka University REpository

Separation of proteins using supramolecular gel electrophoresis

メタデータ	言語: en
	出版者: Royal Society of Chemistry
	公開日: 2012-09-05
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: Yamamichi, Sachiyo, Jinno, Yuki, Haraya, Nana,
	Oyoshi, Takanori, Tomitori, Hideyuki, Kashiwagi, Keiko,
	Yamanaka, Masamichi
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10297/6753

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

COMMUNICATION

Separation of proteins using supramolecular gel electrophoresis

Sachiyo Yamamichi,§^a Yuki Jinno,§^a Nana Haraya,^a Takanori Oyoshi,^a Hideyuki Tomitori,^b Keiko Kashiwagi^b and Masamichi Yamanaka*^a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An amphiphilic low-molecular-weight hydrogelator 1 was synthesized. Tris-glycine-SDS solution gel of 1 was applied for electrophoresis to separate proteins. Centrifugation of a mixture of protein and hydrogel of 1 enabled to recover 10 protein. Various combinations of proteins were applied for supramolecular gel electrophoresis (SUGE), and remarkable poor mobility for small proteins (< 45 kDa) was found.

Self-assembly of preorganized small molecules is a convenient approach to nanoscale architecture. Supramolecular gel composed 15 of fibrous aggregates interlinked noncovalently is an attractive target in this area. Most importantly, research on supramolecular hydrogels has been expanding in the last decade, because of their potential for biological applicability. Great progress has been attained especially in the fields of tissue engineering or 20 chemosensors. In contrast, electrophoresis using supramolecular hydrogels remains as an undeveloped area of research. Gel electrophoresis is one of the most fundamental and frequent techniques used in the analysis of diverse biomolecules.⁴ Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), which was first 25 reported in the middle of the last century, and sodium dodecyl sulfate PAGE (SDS-PAGE) play a central role in the analysis of proteins.⁵ The application of supramolecular hydrogels to protein electrophoresis has potential advantages compared with polyacrylamide gels. One of them is the efficient recovery of 30 protein samples. Polyacrylamide gels show strong affinity for proteins; thus, the recovery of proteins after PAGE is laborious.⁶ The resolvable nature of supramolecular hydrogels is an advantage regarding the recovery of proteins, as the resolved gel would show lower affinity for proteins compared with the 35 original gel. The other advantage is the potential for a novel separation manner depending on the structural nature of supramolecular hydrogels. Phos-tag copolymerized polyacrylamide gel reported by Koike, Kinoshita, and co-workers exhibited high affinity for phosphorylated proteins, and attained 40 unique electrophoresis. This result indicates that the fine-tuning of gels enables the development of specialized electrophoresis. The structural divergence of supramolecular hydrogels favors the creation of electrophoretic techniques according to a specific purpose. In this manuscript, we report the synthesis of an 45 amphiphilic low molecular weight (LMW) hydrogelator and its application to the electrophoresis of proteins. Efficient recovery of proteins and dramatically poor mobility of small proteins were observed using this supramolecular gel electrophoresis (SUGE) approach.

 C_3 -symmetric tris-urea LMW organogelators have been developed in our laboratory.8 Peripherally modification of the organogelator with hydrophilic groups afforded an amphiphilic LMW hydrogelator. The amphiphile 1 was designed and synthesized from commercial pentaacetyl α-D-glucoside using 55 eight-step reactions (Fig. 1). A mixture of 1 and Tris-Glycine-SDS (TGS) solution (Tris: 25 mM; Glycine: 192 mM; SDS: 0.1%) yielded a hydrogel after brief heating; the minimum gelation concentration of 1 was estimated at 1.5 wt% (Fig. 2 inserted). The hydrogel was stable at ambient temperature for a 60 long period, and kept a high transparency, even at 5.0 wt%. The thermal stability $(T_{gel})^{10}$ of the hydrogel was increased by increasing the concentration of 1 as a typical characteristic of the supramolecular gel (T_{gel} ; 46 °C for 1.5 wt%, 92 °C for 2.0 wt%, >100 °C for 3.0 wt%). Narrow fibers (average diameter = 70 nm) 65 were observed in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the xerogel (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1 Chemical structure of LMW hydrogelator 1.

The aptitude of the TGS solution gel of 1 for electrophoresis 70 aimed at separating proteins was tested. A capillary ($\phi = 1.7$ mm) was filled with the TGS solution gel of 1, and a color dye conjugated protein marker (DynaMarker® Protein MultiColor III) was applied on one side. The capillary was applied to electrophoresis using a submarine electrophoresis system. The 75 TGS solution gel of 1 held out against electrophoresis conditions. Six faded bands were observed after the electrophoresis (100 V, 40 min.) using 2.0 wt% TGS solution gel of 1; however, a 12 wt% polyacrylamide gel filled capillary separated all eight proteins after submarine electrophoresis (135 V, 40 min.) (Fig. 80 S1). The gel of 1 was too fragile to handle outside the capillary; therefore, it was difficult to analyze its exact separation capacity in detail. Accordingly, a mixed TGS solution gel consisting of 1 (2.0 wt%) and agarose (2.0 wt%) [1-AG gel] was used in subsequent electrophoretic experiments. The gel was sufficiently 85 hard to handle outside the capillary, even after electrophoresis, and the agarose gel itself had no separation ability for proteins, at least in the range from 16 to 229 kDa.

Fig. 2 Photograph and SEM image of TGS solution gel of 1.

Isolation of proteins from the 1-AG gel was achieved using an

extremely simple centrifugation step. The 1-AG gel containing electrophoresed proteins was centrifuged (14,100 g). The supernatant was applied to SDS-PAGE, together with weighted references. Quantitative evaluation of extracted proteins was 5 accomplished via analysis of a coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) stained polyacrylamide gel plate using the ImageJ software. Extraction of ovalbumin and lysozyme was attained with a yield of up to 27 and 43%, respectively. Other proteins were also recovered using this procedure, and their yields were about 20 to 10 40%. In contrast, this procedure was not effective for the polyacrylamide gel, and no proteins were detected in the extracts.

A typical procedure of SDS-SUGE using the 1-AG gel is shown in Fig. 3. A capillary ($\phi = 2$ mm, length = 120 mm) was filled with 1-AG gel (80 mm), a mixture of pre-denatured 15 proteins was adsorbed onto an end of the gel, and both ends of the capillary were filled with agarose gel (2.0 wt%). The capillary was sunk in TGS solution in the submarine electrophoresis system and electrophoresed using optional voltage and time. The electrophoresed gel was taken out of the capillary and divided 20 into eight equal parts (numbered 1 to 8 from much moved the anode side). Extracted solutions were analyzed using typical SDS-PAGE and CBB staining.

Fig. 3 Schematic representation of SDS-SUGE and following SDS-PAGE analysis.

A mixture of β-galactosidase (116 kDa) and ovalbumin (45 kDa) was employed for SDS-SUGE using 1-AG gel (100 V, 120 min.) (Fig. S2). Smaller ovalbumin was detected in lanes 4 to 7, and a stronger band was found in lane 5. Larger β-galactosidase was 30 detected in lanes 6 to 8, with the strongest band observed in lane 7. Ovalbumin was electrophoresed much anode side than βgalactosidase as well as SDS-PAGE, however the separation was dull (Fig. 4a). Next, we chose a combination of ovalbumin and the much smaller lysozyme (14.4 kDa). SDS-SUGE was 35 performed at 100 V for 170 min and the separation pattern was analyzed using SDS-PAGE followed by CBB staining (Fig. 4b and S2). Ovalbumin was detected in lanes 3 and 4, whereas the smaller lysozyme was detected in lane 5. This result means that the smaller protein was retained closer to the cathode side 40 compared with the larger one, i.e., SDS-SUGE using the LMW hydrogelator 1 yielded a separation pattern that was different from that observed in typical SDS-PAGE. To identify the generality of this unusual separation pattern, aprotinin (6.5 kDa) was used in SDS-SUGE, instead of lysozyme. Electrophoresis of 45 ovalbumin and aprotinin was performed at 100 V for 150 min (Fig. S2). SDS-PAGE analysis showed that ovalbumin was distributed in lanes 3 to 5, and the smaller aprotinin was found in lane 7 (Fig. 4c). These results indicate that SDS-SUGE using the LMW hydrogelator 1 exhibits remarkably poor mobility for small 50 proteins. Two different molecular sieve effects should be added to this electrophoretic technique. One is analogous to the separation mechanism of typical SDS-PAGE. String-like denatured proteins would pass through the three-dimensionally intertwining fibrous network of 1, and smaller proteins would 55 show large mobility. The other resembles the separation mechanism of gel filtration. Isolated spaces constructed from the self-assembly of 1 may be suitable for the retaining proper size

proteins against an electric current. Larger proteins were chiefly influenced by the former mechanism. SDS-SUGE of ovalbumin 60 and carbonic anhydrase (29 kDa) was performed at 100 V for 170 min. SDS-PAGE analysis showed that ovalbumin was identified mainly in lanes 4 and 5, and the smaller carbonic anhydrase was detected in lane 6 (Fig. S3). The relatively scanty separation of ovalbumin and carbonic anhydrase seems to support the above-65 mentioned mechanism. SDS-SUGE allowed the separation of small-size proteins. A mixture of lysozyme (14.4 kDa) and aprotinin (6.5 kda) was used for SDS-SUGE at 100 V for 180 min (Fig. S2). SDS-PAGE analysis showed that lysozyme was mainly found in lane 5, and smaller aprotinin was retained at the 70 more cathodic lanes 6 and 7 (Fig. 4d). Electrophoresis using the TGS solution gel of 1 (1.5 or 3.0 wt%) and agarose (2.0 wt%) vielded similar separation tendencies; however, the relative mobility changed slightly (Fig. S4). This suggests that the unique separation originates in the properties of the pseudpolymer of 1 75 itself.

Fig. 4 SDS-PAGE analyses of SDS-SUGE (1-AG gel) separation of (a) βgalactosidase (116 kDa) and ovalbumin (45 kDa) (12% polyacrylamide gel); (b) ovalbumin and lysozyme (14.4 kDa) (15% polyacrylamide gel); (c) ovalbumin and aprotinin (6.5 kDa) (15% polyacrylamide gel); (d) lysozyme and aprotinin (15% polyacrylamide gel).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the potential of supramolecular hydrogels as a basis for electrophoresis. Some advantages, such as a simple extraction procedure and unique 85 separation pattern, may render this technique superior to the classical agarose or polyacrylamide gel electrophoreses. Development of practical procedure of supramolecular hydrogel electrophoresis is currently being investigated in our laboratory.

This work was partly supported by Daicel Chemical Industry 90 Award in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Japan and Grant-in-aid for the Scientific Research on Innovative Areas of Fusion Materials: Creative Development of Materials and Exploration of Their Function through Molecular Control (no. 2206). The research was partially carried out using an instrument at the 95 Center for Instrumental Analysis of Shizuoka University.

Notes and references

- ^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan. Fax: +81 54 237 3384; Tel: +81 54 238 4936; E-mail: smyaman@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp
- 100 b Faculty of Pharmacy, Chiba Institute of Science, 15-8 Shiomi-cho, Choshi, Chiba 288-0025, Japan.
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures. spectral data, gelation experiments, procedure of electrophoresis, and Fig. S1-S4. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- 105 § These authors contributed equally to this work.
 - (a) P. Terech and R. G. Weiss, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 3133; (b) M.-O. M. Piepenbrock, G. O. Lloyd, N. Clarke and J. W. Steed, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1960.
- (a) L. A. Estroff and A. D. Hamilton, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 1201; (b) M. de Loos, B. L. Feringa and J. H. van Esch, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2005, 3615.
- (a) Z. Yang, G. Liang and B. Xu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 315; (b) A. R. Hirst, B. Escuder, J. F. Miravet and D. K. Smith, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8002; (c) H. Cui, M. J. Webber and S. I. Stupp, Biopolymers, 2010, 94, 1; (d) M. Ikeda, R. Ochi and I. Hamachi, Lab Chip, 2010, 10, 3325.

- (a) P. G. Righetti, J. Chromatogr., A, 2005, 1079, 24; (b) K. Klepárník and P. Bo•ek, BioEssays, 2010, 32, 218.
- (a) S. Raymond and L. Weintraub, Science, 1959, 130, 711; (b) S. Raymond, Clin. Chem., 1962, 8, 455; (c) U. K. Laemmli, Nature, 1970, **227**, 680.
- H. Seelert and F. Krause, Electrophoresis, 2008, 29, 2617.
- (a) E. Kinoshita, E. Kinoshita-Kikuta, K. Takiyama and T. Koike, Mol. Cell. Proteomics, 2006, 5, 749; (b) E. Kinoshita, E. Kinoshita-Kikuta and T. Koike, Nat. Protoc., 2009, 4, 1513; (c) E. Kinoshita and E. Kinoshita-Kikuta, Proteomics, 2011, 11, 319.
- (a) M. Yamanaka, T. Nakamura, T. Nakagawa and H. Itagaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 8990; (b) M. Yamanaka, T. Nakagawa, R. Aoyama and T. Nakamura, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 11558.
- Recently, we reported a similar LMW hydrogelator, however, the molecule did not gel TGS solution. M. Yamanaka, N, Haraya and S. Yamamichi, Chem. Asian J., 2011, 6, 1022.
- 10 Gel-sol phase transition temperature ($T_{\rm gel}$) was determined by the inverse flow method. M. George and R. G. Weiss, Langmuir, 2002, **18**, 7124.







